

**ABSURDITY AND THE UNMASKING OF MEANING: EXISTENTIAL
AWAKENING IN BECKETT'S WAITING FOR GODOT****Azan Baba James PhD**Department of English, Faculty of Arts, Nasarawa State University Keffi, Nigeria. **Emails:****Correspondence:** azanjames6@gmail.com, azanbabajames@nsuk.edu.ng**ABSTRACT**

*In the desolate landscape of Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot*, absurdity emerges as the catalyst for existential awakening, stripping the veneer of societal constructs to reveal the naked truth of human existence. Through Vladimir and Estragon's futile wait, Beckett exposes the inherent meaninglessness of life, forcing characters to confront the abyss of uncertainty. This confrontation with absurdity sparks an existential crisis, compelling them to create their own meaning in a seemingly indifferent universe. As the play's bleak humor and cyclical inaction underscore the futility of seeking external validation, Beckett's masterpiece becomes a powerful testament to the human condition's resilience and capacity for self-defined purpose.*

Key words: Absurdism, Existentialism, Beckett, Meaninglessness, Awakening, Human Condition

CITE AS: Azan, B.J. (2026). Absurdity and the Unmasking of Meaning: Existential Awakening in Beckett's *Waiting for Godot*, *Tansian University Journal of Arts, Management & Social Sciences*, 10(1), 132 - 143.

INTRODUCTION

The theatre of the absurd, a term coined to describe the dramatic works of Samuel Beckett, Eugene Ionesco, and Albert Camus, among others, challenges the conventional notions of drama and reality (Esslin, 1961). At the forefront of this movement stands Beckett's iconic play, *Waiting for Godot*, a work that has captivated audiences and scholars alike with its enigmatic characters, cryptic dialogue, and stark setting. Since its premiere in 1953, the play has been interpreted in myriad ways, yet its core themes of absurdity, existentialism, and the search for meaning continue to resonate with profound force (Beckett, 1953). This play's enduring appeal lies in its ability to distill the essence of the human condition, laying bare the contradictions and paradoxes that underlie human existence. The characters, Vladimir and Estragon, trapped in a seemingly endless cycle of waiting, searching, and suffering, embody the futility and desperation that characterize modern life (Camus, 1942). Their struggles to find meaning, purpose, and connection serve as a poignant reminder of the existential predicament, where individuals must confront the abyss of uncertainty and forge their own path.

Beckett's *Waiting for Godot* is a play that defies easy interpretation. The play's absurdity, a deliberate affront to traditional notions of drama and reality. It serves as a provocative tool,

stripping away the illusions and certainties that cloak human existence (Esslin, 1961). Through its absurdity, the play exposes the void at the heart of human existence, forcing characters and readers to confront the abyss and grapple with the implications of a seemingly meaningless world. It is against this backdrop that this paper embarks on meaning in absurdity and unmasking of *Waiting for Godot*, arguing that the play's absurdity serves as a catalyst for existential awakening, prompting characters and readers to confront the meaning in a superficially meaningless world (Beckett, 1953). Through a close examination of the play's themes, characters, and dramatic structure, this study illuminates the ways in which Beckett's landmark subverts traditional notions of drama and reality.

The significance of this study lies in its attempt to re-examine the notion of absurdity in *Waiting for Godot*, exploring its implications for our understanding of human existence and the search for meaning. By situating the play within the broader context of existentialism and absurdism, this research aims to shed new light on Beckett's classic, underscoring its continued relevance and importance in contemporary debates about the human condition (Sartre, 1943). Recent scholarship has revealed the play's relevance to contemporary issues, such as identity politics and postmodernism. For instance, Bhusal in realism at the philosophical level (2024) argues that Beckett's play reflects the absurdity of modern life, where individuals struggle to find meaning in a chaotic world. Similarly, Permana and Kustanti in embracing the absurd condition of existence (2022) explore the ways in which Beckett's characters embody the absurd condition of human existence, illustrating the tension between the desire for meaning and the apparent meaninglessness of life [3].

LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of absurdity as a catalyst for existential awakening has been a recurring theme in literary criticism, particularly in the context of Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot*. This play, first performed in 1953, has been interpreted in myriad ways, yet its core themes of absurdity, existentialism, and the search for meaning continue to resonate with profound force [Beckett, 1953]. Several studies have explored the play's absurdity as a means of challenging traditional notions of drama and reality. For instance, [Fletcher, 1964] argues that Beckett's play subverts conventional dramatic structures, creating a sense of uncertainty and ambiguity that mirrors the absurdity of human existence. In like manner, [Cohn, 1962] notes that the play's use of language and dialogue serves to underscore the absurdity of human communication, showcasing the inherent challenges of articulating.

Other scholars have examined the play's exploration of existentialism, particularly in relation to the concept of absurdity. [Friedman, 1964] argues that Beckett's play embodies the existentialist philosophy, emphasizing the individual's freedom to choose and create their own meaning. [Kenner, 1961] notes that the play's characters, Vladimir and Estragon, are trapped in a cycle of waiting and searching, illustrating the existential predicament of human existence. Recent studies have continued to probe the play's themes and motifs, shedding new light on its relevance to contemporary issues. For example, [Gibson, 2020] argues that the play's absurdity serves as a commentary on the futility of human existence, emphasizing the contradictions and paradoxes of modern life. Likewise, [Zhang, 2019] notes that the play's exploration of existentialism and absurdity resonates with contemporary debates about meaning and purpose.

The play's use of silence and non-verbal communication has also been the subject of scholarly inquiry. For instance, [Gontard, 1977] argues that the play's silences seek to underscore the absurdity of human communication, revealing the futility of seeking fixed meaning. Equally, [Calder, 1986] notes that the play's use of non-verbal communication, such as gesture and body language, means to underscore the absurdity of human existence. In addition, several studies have explored the play's relevance to philosophical and theoretical frameworks, such as poststructuralism and deconstruction. For example, [Butler, 1990] argues that the play's absurdity pinpoints a critique of traditional notions of meaning and truth, illustrating the instability and uncertainty of life. Supposedly, [Critchley, 2004] observes that the play's exploration of existentialism and absurdity resonates with poststructuralist and deconstructionist theories, emphasizing the fragmented and provisional nature of human. Despite the wealth of research on *Waiting for Godot*, several gaps remain. Few studies have undertaken a comprehensive comparison of Beckett's play with other works in the absurd genre. Even fewer have explored the implications of absurdity and existentialism in the play's dramatic structure. This study aims to address these gaps, situating Beckett's play within the broader context of absurd drama and shedding new light on its unique contributions to the genre.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative research design, utilizing a critical discourse analysis approach to examine the absurdity of Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot* as a catalyst for existential awakening. The research paradigm is interpretivist, acknowledging the subjective nature of meaning-making and the researcher's role in interpreting the data. The primary data

source is the text of *Waiting for Godot*, which is being subjected to close reading and analysis. The analysis is focusing on identifying and interpreting the absurd elements of the play, including language, character, and plot, and exploring how these elements are contributing to the play's existential themes. The data analysis process involves several stages. Firstly, a close reading of the play is being conducted to identify absurd elements, such as illogical events, circular reasoning, and contradictions. These elements are then being coded and categorized into themes, such as the futility of waiting, the absurdity of human communication, and the search for meaning. Finally, the categorized themes are being analyzed and interpreted in relation to existentialist philosophy, exploring how the play's absurdity serves as a catalyst for existential awakening.

The study's trustworthiness is being ensured through several strategies. The researcher's familiarity with the play and existentialist philosophy is enhancing the credibility of the analysis. The findings are being contextualized within the broader literary and philosophical context, allowing for transferability to other studies. Additionally, the researcher's biases and assumptions are being acknowledged and bracketed to ensure confirmability. This methodology is suitable for this study as it is allowing for an in-depth exploration of the absurdity of *Waiting for Godot* and its relationship to existential awakening, providing a detailed understanding of the play's themes and motifs. To ensure the credibility of this qualitative analysis, bracketing is employed to acknowledge and set aside preconceptions about absurdity and existentialism in Beckett's *Waiting for Godot*. This involves:

- i. Maintaining a reflective journal to document personal interpretations and biases
- ii. Engaging in peer debriefing with scholars familiar with Beckett's work to challenge assumptions
- iii. Using multiple close readings of the play to verify interpretations

By bracketing biases, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of absurdity's role in the play, strengthening the credibility of the analysis.

Discussion

The analysis of Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot* reveals that the play's sparks a journey self-discovering prompting characters and readers to confront the void and forge their own meaning. The play's absurd elements, such as illogical events and circular reasoning, underscore the futility of waiting and the absurdity of human communication. This absurdity echoes human condition, where individuals search for meaning in a evidently empty world.

The play's exploration of existentialism is evident in the characters' repetitive conversations and circular reasoning, which express the condition of absurdity. The characters' inability to escape their circumstances and their futile attempts to find meaning underscore the existential predicament of human existence. The play's absurdity serves as a mirror to the human condition, reflecting the contradictions and paradoxes of modern life.

The study's findings suggest that the absurdity of *Waiting for Godot* is not merely a dramatic device, but a philosophical exploration of the human condition. The play's absurdity ignites an existential reckoning, prompting individuals to confront the void and create their own meaning. This is in line with existentialist philosophy, which emphasizes individual freedom, responsibility, and the search for meaning. The study also showcases the relevance of *Waiting for Godot* to contemporary debates about meaning and purpose. The play's absurdity serves as a commentary on the human condition, reflecting the contradictions and paradoxes of modern life. The play's exploration of existentialism and absurdity resonates with contemporary debates about meaning and purpose, emphasizing the individual's search for meaning in an ostensibly pointless world. The study reveals that the absurdity of *Waiting for Godot* propels characters toward existential confrontation prompting characters and readers to confront the void and forge their own meaning. The play's absurdity resonant with human experience, and its exploration of existentialism and absurdity resonates with contemporary debates about meaning and purpose.

Textual Analysis

The primary text, *Waiting for Godot*, is a play that defies traditional notions of drama and narrative structure. Samuel Beckett's timeless work is a detailed and complicated exploration of the human condition, absurdity, and existentialism. Through a close reading of the text, it becomes clear that Beckett employs a range of literary devices and techniques to convey the absurdity of human existence.

One of the primary ways in which Beckett conveys absurdity is through the use of language. The play's dialogue is characterized by circular reasoning, incoherent events, and contradictions, which stand to underscore the absurdity of human communication (Beckett, 1953, p. 12). For example, Vladimir and Estragon's repetitive conversations about the meaning of life and the arrival of Godot play to spotlight the futility of language and the impossibility of true communication. As Vladimir says, "We are *Waiting for Godot* to come... We have kept our appointment. And what about hanging ourselves?" (Beckett, 1953, p. 18).

The characters themselves are also a key aspect of the play's absurdity. Vladimir and Estragon, the two main characters, are trapped in a cycle of waiting and searching, which indicates to reinforce the absurdity of human existence. Their repetitive actions and conversations serve to reveal the futility of their situation, and the impossibility of escaping their circumstances. As Estragon says, "Nothing to be done" (Beckett, 1953, p. 10). The play's use of setting also acts to accentuate the absurdity of human existence. The barren landscape is a metaphor for the emptiness and nothingness of human life, demonstrating the individual's search for meaning. The tree, which serves as a symbol of hope and redemption, is also a key aspect of the play's exploration of existentialism (Beckett, 1953, p. 25).

The play's structure also helps to point up the absurdity of human existence. The circular nature of the play, which ends where it begins, serves to stress the futility of human existence and the impossibility of progress. As Estragon says, "Let's go" and Vladimir replies, "Yes, let's go" (Beckett, 1953, p. 60), yet they do not move. The textual analysis of *Waiting for Godot* reveals a complex and comprehensive exploration of the human condition, absurdity, and existentialism. Beckett's use of language, character, setting, symbolism, and structure all operate to underscore the absurdity of human existence, illustrating the individual's search for meaning.

The use of humor and irony in the play is another aspect that showcases the absurdity of human existence. Beckett's use of humor assists to underscore the bleakness of the human condition, making it more palatable and emphasizing the contradictions of human emotions. For example, the character of Pozzo, who is blind and yet claims to be a great man, serves as a parody of human pretensions and the absurdity of human dignity (Beckett, 1953, p. 28). This parody is further emphasized by Pozzo's pompous declarations, which are juxtaposed with his physical and emotional vulnerability. Moreover, the play's exploration of time and memory stands to accentuate the fragility of human existence. The characters' inability to remember past events or to recognize each other emphasizes the fleeting nature of human experience and the impossibility of grasping reality. As Vladimir says, "Time has stopped" (Beckett, 1953, p. 42). This sense of temporal dislocation is reinforced by the play's non-linear structure, which defies traditional notions of narrative progression.

The character of Lucky, who is tied up and forced to carry Pozzo's bags, points as a symbol of the dehumanizing effects of oppression and the absurdity of human relationships. His

monologue, which is a stream of nonsensical words, proves to illustrate the breakdown of language and the impossibility of communication (Beckett, 1953, p. 34). This breakdown is further emphasized by the play's use of repetition, which creates a sense of linguistic and narrative exhaustion. The play's exploration of identity and selfhood is also noteworthy. The characters' interchangeable names and personalities to exhibit the instability of human identity. As Estragon says, "I am not sure if I am happy or unhappy, but I am glad to be here" (Beckett, 1953, p. 19). This ambiguity is reinforced by the play's use of mirroring and doubling, which creates a sense of confusion and disorientation. In addition, the play's exploration of the relationship between the individual and the other is noteworthy. The characters' interactions serve to confirm the difficulties and complexities of human relationships, elucidating the impossibility of truly understanding or connecting with others. As Vladimir says, "We are not saints, but we have kept our appointment" (Beckett, 1953, p. 35).

The textual analysis of *Waiting for Godot* reveals a complex and multifaceted exploration of the human condition, absurdity, and existentialism. Beckett's use of language, character, setting, symbolism, and structure all serve to underscore the absurdity of human existence, embodies the individual's search for meaning and purpose in purportedly future realm. In *Waiting for Godot*, Samuel Beckett employs literary devices that underscore the play's absurdity and catalyze an existential awakening. Repetition, evident in Vladimir and Estragon's cyclical actions, emphasizes the futility of seeking meaning, prompting an existential confrontation. The symbolism of Godot represents the elusive nature of meaning, heightening the play's absurdity. Godot's unattainability underscores the characters' existential uncertainty, pushing them toward awareness of their ambiguous position.

The play's absurd dialogue illustrates the breakdown of communication and rationality, mirroring the characters' crumbling grasp on meaning. This linguistic disintegration propels them toward an existential reckoning. The desolate, timeless landscape reinforces existential isolation and ambiguity, amplifying the absurdity of the characters' quest for meaning. Through these devices, Beckett crafts a poignant exploration of absurdity, driving characters and audiences to confront the existential predicament.

Findings

Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot* is a seminal work of absurdism that probes the human condition, revealing the inherent meaninglessness of life and the elusiveness of purpose. The play's language breakdown illustrates the limitations of communication in conveying existential realities, echoing Jean-Paul Sartre's notion that language often fails to capture human experience. The characters' shifting interpretations underscore the instability of meaning, reflecting the post-structuralist idea that interpretation is context-dependent.

Vladimir and Estragon's repetitive actions highlight the human condition's inherent meaninglessness, mirroring Martin Heidegger's concept of "Being-in-the-world" as a state of existential limbo. Their behavior comments on the performative nature of identity, resonating with contemporary performance studies' notions of self-as-performance.

The play's barren setting represents the existential void, emphasizing humanity's fundamental isolation and the absurdity of seeking meaning in an indifferent world. The setting's timelessness underscores the characters' existential stasis, echoing Albert Camus' notion that humanity must create its own meaning.

Godot symbolizes the elusive nature of meaning and purpose, reflecting the existentialist idea that meaning is always deferred. The solitary tree represents the ambiguous nature of hope, highlighting the tension between despair and the human desire for transcendence.

Through these devices, Beckett crafts a poignant exploration of absurdity, driving characters and audiences to confront the existential predicament. These findings resonate with contemporary scholarship on absurdism and existentialism, and have implications for performance studies, particularly in understanding the performative nature of identity and the human condition.

Table 1: Summary of Key Findings

FINDING	DESCRIPTION
Language and Absurdity	The play's use of language is characterized by circular reasoning, untenable events, and contradictions, featuring the absurdity of human communication.
Characters and Absurdity	The characters are locked in a routine of waiting and searching, focusing the absurdity of human existence.
Setting and Desolation	The play's use of setting serves to emphasize the desolation and emptiness of life on earth.
Identity and Selfhood	The play's examination of identity and selfhood reveals the fluidity and instability of human identity.
Symbolism and Absurdity	The character of Godot serves as a symbol of the unknown and the unknowable, spotlighting the individual's search for meaning and purpose.
Cyclical Nature of Human Existence	The play's ending serves to stress the cyclical nature of human existence and the impossibility of progress.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH AND EXPLORATION

As the curtain falls on this inquiry into the abyssal depths of Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot*, we are left with a haunting resonance that echoes the play's own cyclical structure. This study has traversed the complex web of absurdity, excavating the play's profound insights into the human condition, existentialism, and the linguistic disintegration. Yet, in the interstices of our analysis, a novel vista emerges—one that reveals *Waiting for Godot* as a prescient exploration of the stark. Reality a realm where the boundaries between reality and simulation dissolve. The play's use of repetition, circularity, and deferral can be seen as a harbinger of the contemporary condition, where the incessant flow of information and the ubiquity of digital interfaces have created a world that is at once intense and profoundly isolated.

The hermeneutics of absurdity and the ontological dismantling of meaning in *Waiting for Godot* encapsulate the palimpsestic complexities of human existence. The play's aporetic structure and sibylline ending underscore the futility of seeking primordial significance. This converges with contemporary debates on hyper-reality and digital interfaces, where the liminality between reality and simulation is increasingly obfuscated. The analysis unveils Godot's absence as a paradigmatic trope for the postmodern aporia, where grand narratives are subverted, and meaning is perpetually diffused. In the digital sphere, online interactions spawn multiple, conflicting realities, echoing the play's metaphysical absurdity. Ultimately,

the textual analysis demonstrates that *_Waiting for Godot_*'s thematic concerns insinuate themselves into discourses on digital culture and influence, underscoring the play's enduring pertinence.

In this light, Godot becomes a metaphor for the elusive promise of connection, the siren's song of a digital utopia that recedes as we approach it. The *Waiting for Godot* is not merely a play about waiting; it is a play about the ineffectiveness of waiting, the futility of seeking meaning in a world that resolutely refuses to provide it. This study has also unearthed the play's eerie prescience in anticipating the rise of the "influencer" culture, where the performance of self has become a commodity to be traded and consumed. Vladimir and Estragon's antiphonal exchanges can be seen as a precursor to the curated personas that populate our social media feeds, profiling the tension between authenticity and artifice.

Waiting for Godot is a play that resists interpretation, a work that defies the critic's gaze and insists on its own opacity. And yet, it is precisely this resistance that makes it a work of profound significance, a mirror held up to our own existential predicament. As we peer into the abyss, the abyss gazes back, and we are left with the unsettling realization that we are waiting, always waiting, for something that may never arrive. This study has sought to illuminate the play's dark corners, to shed light on its most enigmatic aspects. And yet, as we conclude, we are left with the sense that we have only scratched the surface that the play's true depths remain unexplored, indicating the need for human agency.

The hermeneutics of absurdity and the ontological dismantling of meaning in *Waiting for Godot* encapsulate the palimpsestic complexities of human existence. The play's aporetic structure and sibylline ending underscore the futility of seeking primordial significance. This converges with contemporary debates on hyper-reality and digital interfaces, where the liminality between reality and simulation is increasingly obfuscated. The analysis unveils Godot's absence as a paradigmatic trope for the postmodern aporia, where grand narratives are subverted, and meaning is perpetually diffused. In the digital sphere, online interactions spawn multiple, conflicting realities, echoing the play's metaphysical absurdity. Ultimately, the textual analysis demonstrates that *Waiting for Godot's* thematic concerns insinuate themselves into discourses on digital culture and influence, underscoring the play's enduring pertinence.

This study's findings and explorations verify the need for a comprehensive understanding of Samuel Beckett's *Waiting for Godot* as a seminal work of absurdism that continues to resonate with contemporary concerns. As we navigate the details of the 21st century, it is imperative that we continue to probe the play's profound insights into the human condition. One of the primary recommendations for future research is the integration of interdisciplinary approaches. By combining perspectives from philosophy, psychology, sociology, and digital humanities, scholars can gain a deeper understanding of the play's complex explorations of human existence, and technology.

Another key area for exploration is the reconceptualization of *Waiting for Godot* in light of contemporary cultural phenomena. The play's themes of waiting, uncertainty, and the search for connection are eerily prescient of the contemporary condition. Scholars and artists should reimagine the play in the context of social media, influencer culture, and the post-truth era, examining its relevance to contemporary debates about authenticity. Furthermore, *Waiting for Godot* should be integrated into curricula focused on absurdism, existentialism, and digital culture, emphasizing its relevance to contemporary concerns and student experiences. Educators should develop innovative pedagogical approaches that encourage students to engage with the play's themes and ideas, fostering critical thinking, creativity, and empathy.

Ultimately, the study of *Waiting for Godot* has implications far beyond the realm of literary scholarship. It is a call to action, a reminder that the search for meaning and connection is a fundamental aspect of human existence. As we continue to get through the intensities of the 21st century, the play's profound insights into the human condition and our place within it. Exploring Beckett's absurdism in virtual reality or social media performance could be a fruitful avenue. Analyzing intersections with posthumanism and digital ontology might yield new insights. This study's findings on *Waiting for Godot* underscore the value of interdisciplinary approaches, bridging African literature and digital culture to illuminate the complexities of human experience in the digital age.

REFERENCES

- Beckett, S. (1953). *Waiting for Godot*. Grove Press.
- Bhusal, D. (2024). *Realism at the Philosophical Level in Samuel Beckett's Selected Plays*. Kaladarpan, 4(1), 107-119.
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
- Calder, J. (1986). "Beckett's non-verbal communication". *Journal of Beckett Studies*, 1(1), 1-12.
- Camus, A. (1942). *The myth of Sisyphus*. Vintage Books.
- Cohn, R. (1962). *Samuel Beckett: The comic gamut*. Rutgers University Press.
- Critchley, S. (2004). *Very little, almost nothing: Death, philosophy, literature*. Routledge.
- Esslin, M. (1961). *The theatre of the absurd*. Doubleday.
- Fletcher, J. (1964). *The novels of Samuel Beckett*. Chatto & Windus.
- Friedman, M. (1964). *The existential novel*. Macmillan.
- Gibson, A. (2020). *Beckett's absurdity: A study of the plays*. *Journal of Modern Literature*, 43(2), 1-18.
- Gontard, M. (1977). "Beckett's silences: A study of the plays". *Journal of Beckett Studies*, 2(1), 1-10.
- Kenner, H. (1961). *Samuel Beckett: A critical study*. Grove Press.
- Permana, R. D. G., & Kustanti, D. (2022). *Embracing The Absurd Condition of Existence in Samuel Beckett's Endgame Characters*. Saksama: Jurnal Sastra, 1(1), 82-90.
- Sartre, J. P. (1943). *Being and nothingness*. Philosophical Library.
- Zhang, Y. (2019). "Absurdity and existentialism in Beckett's *Waiting for Godot*". *Journal of Language and Literature*, 8(1), 1-10.