POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL LEGISLATION IN AFRICA

Okewu Michael Peter, PhD
Claretian University of Nigeria, Imo State
michaelokewu905@gmail.com

Ukata Emmanuel Egbe, PhD
Augustinian Institute of Philosophy, Makurdi

Abstract

The interconnections between biodiversity conservation, public health, and governance have become increasingly evident across Africa, where environmental degradation continues to exacerbate health vulnerabilities and socio-economic inequalities. This paper examines the role of policy frameworks, governance structures, and environmental legislation in addressing the complex nexus between biodiversity loss and public health within the African context. Although existing scholarship has extensively examined biodiversity conservation, environmental governance, and public health as interconnected concerns, there remains insufficient philosophical and policy-oriented analysis of how environmental legislation and governance structures can be systematically integrated to address biodiversity loss and its public health consequences in Africa. Drawing on interdisciplinary insights from environmental ethics, political ecology, and public policy, the paper argues that weak institutional capacity, fragmented policy implementation, and inadequate enforcement mechanisms have undermined the efficacy of environmental legislation across many African states. The study employs a qualitative, normative-philosophical and interdisciplinary methodology, using conceptual analysis, critical analysis of relevant scholarly literature and policy documents, and selected case studies to examine the relationship between biodiversity governance, environmental legislation, and public health. It further highlights how deforestation, climate change, pollution, and the loss of ecosystem services contribute to disease vulnerability, food insecurity, and declining quality of life. Through selected case studies, the analysis reveals both the limitations and emerging opportunities within current governance systems, including regional cooperation frameworks, community-based conservation initiatives, and the integration of indigenous knowledge systems into environmental decision-making. In response, the study proposes a holistic and participatory governance model that aligns biodiversity conservation with public health priorities by strengthening legal frameworks, improving institutional accountability, and mainstreaming environmental considerations into national development policies. The paper's original contribution lies in advancing an integrated governance framework that brings biodiversity conservation, public health, environmental legislation, and indigenous knowledge into a unified policy approach for strengthening ecological resilience and human well-being

in Africa.

Keywords: Biodiversity Conservation, Public Health, Environmental Governance, Environmental Legislation, Sustainable Development, Ecosystem, Services Institutional Capacity

Introduction

The relationship between biodiversity, conservation, and public health has emerged as a critical area of scholarly and policy concern, particularly within the African context where ecological systems and human livelihoods are intertwined. Africa is endowed with rich biodiversity, ranging from tropical rainforests and savannahs to wetlands and coastal ecosystems, which provide essential ecosystem services such as food supply, water purification, climate regulation, and disease control. However, increasing environmental degradation driven by deforestation, climate change, pollution, and unsustainable resource exploitation has significantly threatened these ecosystems, thereby undermining both conservation efforts and public health outcomes (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2019). The nexus between biodiversity and public health is especially evident in the rise of zoonotic diseases, food insecurity, and deteriorating environmental conditions across the continent. WHO has argued that the disruption of ecosystems increases human exposure to disease vectors and pathogens, thereby exacerbating public health crises (World Health Organization, 2020). In Africa, where healthcare systems are often fragile and socio-economic vulnerabilities are high, the implications of biodiversity loss extend beyond environmental concerns to include profound human health risks and developmental challenges (African Development Bank, 2021). Consequently, effective policy frameworks, governance structures, and environmental legislation are indispensable for addressing these interconnected issues in a sustainable and holistic manner.

Against this backdrop, the aim of this study is to critically examine the role of policy, governance, and environmental legislation in addressing the interconnected challenges of biodiversity conservation and public health in Africa. The study seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of existing frameworks, identify key challenges, and explore prospects for improving environmental governance in the region. Methodologically, the study adopts a qualitative and interdisciplinary approach, drawing on secondary data sources such as academic literature, policy documents, legal texts, and reports from international organizations. Analytical methods include conceptual analysis and critical evaluation of existing frameworks, complemented by illustrative case studies from selected African countries.

Environmental Policy Frameworks in Africa

Environmental policy frameworks in Africa operate within a multi-layered system comprising national policies, regional and continental initiatives, and international agreements. These frameworks collectively aim to regulate human interaction with the

environment, promote biodiversity conservation, and safeguard public health. However, their effectiveness depends largely on coherence, institutional capacity, and the extent of integration across governance levels. National environmental policies in African countries are typically designed as overarching frameworks that guide environmental management, conservation practices, and sustainable development. These policies are often embedded within broader national development plans and are implemented through sector-specific regulations addressing areas such as forestry, water resources, agriculture, climate change, and public health (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2019). Structurally, national environmental policies generally include:

1. **Vision and guiding principles**, such as sustainability, precautionary principle, and intergenerational equity
2. **Strategic objectives,** including biodiversity conservation, pollution control, and climate resilience
3. **Institutional frameworks,** outlining the roles of ministries, agencies, and regulatory bodies
4. **Implementation mechanisms,** including monitoring, evaluation, and enforcement provisions

The primary objectives of these policies are to ensure the sustainable use of natural resources, protect ecosystems, reduce environmental risks to human health, and promote socio-economic development. In many African countries, environmental policies also emphasize poverty alleviation, recognizing the close relationship between environmental degradation and economic vulnerability (African Development Bank, 2021). Despite their comprehensive design, national environmental policies often face significant integration challenges. One major issue is **sectoral fragmentation,** where environmental concerns are inadequately incorporated into key sectors such as agriculture, energy, and urban planning. This results in conflicting policy priorities, for example, between economic development initiatives and conservation goals.

At the continental level, the African Union plays a central role in shaping environmental policy direction through strategic frameworks and initiatives. Key among these is the **Agenda 2063,** which emphasizes sustainable development, environmental protection, and climate resilience as essential components of Africa's long-term transformation. The African Union also promotes environmental governance through programs such as the African Ministerial Conference on the Environment (AMCEN), which facilitates policy coordination, capacity building, and knowledge sharing among member states. These initiatives aim to harmonize environmental policies across the continent and strengthen collective responses to transboundary environmental challenges.

Despite strong participation in international frameworks, the **domestication and**

implementation of these agreements remain significant challenges. Domestication refers to the process of incorporating international treaty obligations into national legal and policy systems. In many African countries, this process is slow and often incomplete, resulting in gaps between international commitments and local realities. Key barriers include weak legal systems, inadequate institutional frameworks, and lack of political will. Additionally, the complexity of international agreements can make them difficult to translate into actionable national policies. Financial constraints and competing development priorities further complicate implementation efforts (UNEP, 2019). Moreover, there is often insufficient monitoring and evaluation of compliance with international obligations. This limits accountability and reduces the effectiveness of global environmental governance mechanisms at the national level. Environmental policy frameworks in Africa reflect a complex interplay between national priorities, regional cooperation, and international commitments. While significant progress has been made in developing comprehensive policies and legal instruments, persistent challenges in integration, coordination, and implementation continue to hinder their effectiveness. Addressing these gaps is essential for strengthening biodiversity conservation and improving public health outcomes across the continent.

Environmental Legislation in Africa

Environmental legislation in Africa constitutes a critical pillar of environmental governance, providing the legal basis for regulating human activities that impact ecosystems and public health. Across the continent, legal frameworks have evolved in response to both domestic environmental challenges and international obligations. However, the effectiveness of these laws depends not only on their design but also on institutional capacity, enforcement mechanisms, and broader socio-political dynamics. Environmental laws and regulations across African states generally reflect a combination of constitutional provisions, statutory enactments, and subsidiary regulations aimed at protecting natural resources and promoting sustainable development. Many African constitutions now recognize environmental rights, including the right to a clean and healthy environment, thereby elevating environmental protection to a fundamental legal principle (Boyle, 2012). At the statutory level, countries have enacted comprehensive environmental protection laws that address issues such as pollution control, biodiversity conservation, environmental impact assessment (EIA), waste management, and climate change. For instance, framework legislation in several African states establishes national environmental standards, licensing regimes, and compliance requirements for industries and development projects (UNEP, 2019). These laws are often complemented by sector-specific regulations governing forestry, water resources, mining, and wildlife conservation. A notable feature of environmental legal frameworks in Africa is their alignment with international environmental agreements. Many national laws incorporate provisions derived from global treaties, thereby creating a hybrid legal system that integrates domestic and international norms. However, there is considerable variation across

countries in terms of legal sophistication, scope, and implementation capacity.

The implementation of environmental legislation in Africa is primarily entrusted to regulatory agencies and enforcement bodies established at national and sub-national levels. These institutions typically include ministries of environment, environmental protection agencies, and specialized regulatory authorities responsible for monitoring compliance, issuing permits, and enforcing environmental standards. For example, in Nigeria, the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) plays a central role in enforcing environmental laws and regulations, including compliance with international environmental agreements. Similar agencies exist across the continent, reflecting a common institutional model for environmental governance. These bodies employ a range of enforcement mechanisms, including inspections, sanctions, fines, and closure of non-compliant facilities. Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) processes are also widely used as preventive tools to evaluate the potential environmental and health impacts of proposed projects before approval is granted. Despite these mechanisms, enforcement remains inconsistent due to limited resources, inadequate technical capacity, and political constraints. This often results in a gap between legal provisions and actual environmental outcomes.

Corruption and political interference further undermine the effectiveness of environmental legislation. In some cases, regulatory decisions are influenced by vested interests, leading to the approval of environmentally harmful projects or the failure to sanction violators. This erodes public trust in environmental institutions and weakens accountability mechanisms. Political considerations may also override environmental priorities, particularly in contexts where economic growth and revenue generation are prioritized over sustainability. As a result, environmental laws may be selectively enforced or disregarded altogether.

Another critical challenge is the coexistence of multiple legal systems, often referred to as **legal pluralism.** In many African countries, statutory laws operate alongside customary and religious legal systems, which may have different approaches to resource management and environmental protection. While customary systems can play a positive role in conservation through traditional ecological knowledge and community-based practices, conflicts may arise when they are not aligned with formal legal frameworks. For example, land tenure systems based on customary rights may clash with state-led conservation initiatives or commercial resource exploitation. These conflicts can create ambiguity and undermine the coherence of environmental legislation, making it difficult to achieve consistent and effective environmental governance. Environmental legislation in Africa provides an essential legal foundation for biodiversity conservation and public health protection. However, its effectiveness is constrained by enforcement challenges, institutional weaknesses, and complex socio-

political dynamics. Addressing these issues requires not only legal reforms but also broader efforts to strengthen governance, enhance transparency, and integrate diverse legal systems into a coherent and inclusive framework.

Governance and Institutional Dynamics

Environmental governance in Africa is shaped by a complex network of institutions, actors, and processes operating at multiple levels. These dynamics influence how environmental policies are formulated, implemented, and enforced, and ultimately determine the effectiveness of biodiversity conservation and public health protection. Understanding governance structures, community participation, and the role of non-state actors is essential for evaluating environmental outcomes across the continent. State institutions remain the primary actors in environmental governance in Africa, bearing responsibility for policy formulation, regulatory enforcement, and coordination of environmental management efforts. These institutions typically include ministries of environment, natural resource agencies, and specialized regulatory bodies tasked with overseeing sectors such as forestry, water, wildlife, and climate change (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2019). The role of the state is particularly in establishing legal frameworks, enforcing compliance, and integrating environmental considerations into national development planning. Governments also serve as key intermediaries between international environmental commitments and domestic implementation. However, the effectiveness of state institutions is often constrained by limited financial resources, inadequate technical expertise, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and political pressures. Furthermore, central governments frequently dominate environmental decision-making processes, which can lead to a disconnect between national policies and local realities. This underscores the need for more inclusive and adaptive governance systems that reflect the socio-ecological diversity of African contexts.

Decentralization has emerged as a significant trend in environmental governance, aimed at transferring authority and responsibility from central governments to regional and local institutions. This approach is based on the premise that local actors are better positioned to understand and manage their environmental resources effectively (Ribot, 2002). Local governance structures, including municipal authorities and community-based organizations, play a critical role in implementing environmental policies, monitoring resource use, and resolving conflicts. Decentralization can enhance accountability, improve resource management, and foster greater community participation. However, the success of decentralization in Africa has been mixed. In many cases, local institutions lack the capacity, funding, and autonomy required to perform their functions effectively. Additionally, decentralization processes are sometimes incomplete or poorly coordinated, leading to overlapping responsibilities and governance gaps. Thus, while decentralization holds promise, it requires strong institutional support and clear frameworks to achieve its intended outcomes.

Indigenous and local communities in Africa possess rich bodies of traditional ecological knowledge (TEK), which have been developed over generations through close interaction with the natural environment. These knowledge systems encompass practices related to land use, biodiversity conservation, water management, and climate adaptation, often guided by cultural values and spiritual beliefs (Berkes, 2012). Traditional ecological knowledge contributes significantly to sustainable resource management by promoting practices such as crop diversification, sacred groves preservation, and seasonal harvesting. These approaches are often adaptive and context-specific, making them particularly valuable in addressing environmental challenges in diverse African ecosystems. However, TEK has historically been marginalized in formal governance frameworks, which tend to prioritize scientific and technical knowledge. Integrating indigenous knowledge into environmental policy and decision-making processes is therefore essential for achieving more holistic and culturally relevant governance outcomes.

Biodiversity Loss and Public Health Implications

Biodiversity loss in Africa has far-reaching consequences that extend beyond ecological degradation to directly affect human health and well-being. The intricate relationship between ecosystems and public health underscores the urgency of addressing environmental decline through integrated policy and governance frameworks. This section examines the key drivers of biodiversity loss, their implications for public health, and selected case studies that illustrate these dynamics within the African context. Biodiversity loss in Africa is driven by a combination of anthropogenic and natural factors, many of which are interconnected and mutually reinforcing. Deforestation remains one of the most significant drivers, particularly in regions such as the Congo Basin and West Africa. Forests are cleared for agriculture, logging, and infrastructure development, leading to habitat destruction and fragmentation. This not only reduces species diversity but also disrupts ecological processes essential for maintaining environmental balance (FAO, 2020). Urbanization is another major factor contributing to biodiversity loss. Rapid population growth and the expansion of cities have resulted in the conversion of natural habitats into built environments. Urban sprawl often leads to increased waste generation, pollution, and pressure on surrounding ecosystems, thereby degrading biodiversity. Climate change further exacerbates biodiversity loss by altering temperature patterns, precipitation cycles, and ecosystem dynamics. Changes in climate conditions can lead to species migration, extinction, and the disruption of ecological interactions. In Africa, climate variability has significantly impacted ecosystems such as savannahs, wetlands, and coastal zones (IPCC, 2021). Pollution, including air, water, and soil contamination, also plays a critical role. Industrial activities, oil spills, agricultural runoff, and improper waste disposal contribute to environmental degradation, affecting both terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity. For instance, pollution in the Niger Delta has severely impacted

marine life and local ecosystems. These drivers are often intensified by socio-economic factors such as poverty, population pressure, and weak governance, which limit the capacity for effective environmental management.

The loss of biodiversity has profound implications for public health, particularly in Africa where many communities rely directly on natural ecosystems for survival. One of the most significant health impacts is the increased risk of zoonotic diseases, which are transmitted from animals to humans. Environmental degradation, particularly deforestation and habitat encroachment, increases human contact with wildlife, thereby facilitating the pathogens (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020). Outbreaks of diseases such as Ebola and Lassa fever have been linked to ecological disturbances, highlighting the connection between biodiversity loss and emerging health threats.

Biodiversity loss also undermines food security, as it affects agricultural productivity, fisheries, and livestock systems. The decline in pollinators, soil fertility, and genetic diversity of crops reduces resilience to pests, diseases, and climate variability (FAO, 2020). In many African countries, this has contributed to increased food insecurity and malnutrition, particularly among vulnerable populations. Furthermore, the loss of wild food sources, such as bushmeat and edible plants, reduces dietary diversity and exacerbates nutritional deficiencies. This has significant implications for child health and overall population well-being. Healthy ecosystems play a crucial role in maintaining water and air quality, both of which are essential for public health. The degradation of forests and wetlands reduces natural water filtration, leading to contamination of water sources and increased incidence of waterborne diseases such as cholera and dysentery. Similarly, air pollution resulting from industrial emissions, deforestation, and urban activities contributes to respiratory illnesses, including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). In many African cities, poor air quality has become a major public health concern, reflecting the broader environmental crisis.

For instance, the Congo Basin, one of the largest tropical rainforest regions in the world, is a critical biodiversity hotspot. However, it faces significant threats from logging, mining, and agricultural expansion. Deforestation in this region has led to habitat loss and increased human-wildlife interactions, contributing to the emergence of zoonotic diseases. The situation highlights the need for stronger conservation policies and cross-border governance frameworks. The Niger Delta region has experienced extensive environmental degradation due to oil exploration and pollution. Oil spills and gas flaring have destroyed aquatic ecosystems, reduced fish populations, and contaminated water sources. These environmental impacts have had severe public health consequences, including increased rates of respiratory diseases, skin conditions, and waterborne illnesses (UNEP, 2011). The case underscores the importance of enforcing

environmental regulations and holding corporations accountable. The Sahel region is characterized by fragile ecosystems and high vulnerability to climate change. Desertification, driven by climate variability and unsustainable land use practices, has led to declining agricultural productivity and food insecurity. This has contributed to malnutrition, displacement, and conflict over scarce resources. Efforts such as the Great Green Wall initiative demonstrate the potential for integrated approaches to address environmental and health challenges.

Several key lessons emerge from these case studies:

i. **Interconnectedness of ecosystems and health:** Environmental degradation directly impacts public health, necessitating integrated policy approaches.

ii. **Importance of governance and enforcement**: Weak regulatory frameworks and poor enforcement exacerbate biodiversity loss and its health consequences.

iii. **Need for community involvement:** Local participation and indigenous knowledge are essential for sustainable conservation efforts.

iv. **Value of regional cooperation:** Transboundary environmental challenges require coordinated responses across countries.

v. **Integration of environmental and health policies:** Addressing biodiversity loss and public health challenges requires a holistic and interdisciplinary approach.

In conclusion, biodiversity loss in Africa is not merely an environmental issue but a critical public health concern. Understanding its drivers and impacts is essential for developing effective governance strategies that promote both ecological sustainability and human well-being.

Challenges in Policy Implementation and Governance

Despite the existence of numerous environmental policies, legal frameworks, and governance institutions across Africa, the implementation of these instruments remains significantly constrained. The gap between policy formulation and effective execution continues to undermine biodiversity conservation and public health objectives. This section examines the key structural, institutional, financial, and socio-political challenges affecting environmental governance in Africa. One of the most persistent challenges is policy fragmentation, where environmental policies are developed and implemented in isolation from other sectoral policies such as agriculture, energy, mining, and urban development. This lack of integration leads to conflicting objectives and weak policy coherence. For instance, while environmental policies may prioritize conservation, agricultural or industrial policies may simultaneously promote land expansion and resource exploitation, resulting in ecosystem degradation (United Nations Environment Programme [UNEP], 2019).

Furthermore, coordination among government agencies is often weak, with overlapping mandates and unclear institutional responsibilities. This creates inefficiencies, duplication of efforts, and regulatory gaps. In many African countries, environmental governance is dispersed across multiple ministries and agencies, making it difficult to achieve a unified and strategic response to environmental challenges. The absence of effective inter-sectoral coordination mechanisms also limits the ability of governments to address complex issues such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and public health in an integrated manner.

Institutional weaknesses constitute another major barrier to effective environmental governance. Many environmental agencies in Africa suffer from inadequate administrative capacity, limited technical expertise, and weak organizational structures. These deficiencies hinder their ability to design, implement, and enforce environmental regulations effectively. In addition, institutions often lack autonomy, making them vulnerable to political interference and external pressures. This compromises their ability to act independently in the enforcement of environmental laws, particularly in cases involving powerful economic actors or politically connected individuals. Corruption within regulatory institutions further undermines governance effectiveness. In some instances, environmental approvals and enforcement decisions are influenced by bribery or political favoritism, leading to the approval of environmentally harmful activities and weakening accountability mechanisms. A critical constraint on environmental governance in Africa is inadequate funding. Environmental agencies are frequently underfunded, limiting their ability to conduct monitoring, enforcement, research, and public awareness campaigns. This financial constraint affects both human and institutional capacity, resulting in understaffed agencies and poorly equipped regulatory bodies. The lack of investment in environmental infrastructure such as laboratories, monitoring systems, and data collection tools further reduces the effectiveness of environmental management. Without reliable data and technological capacity, it becomes difficult to assess environmental conditions accurately or respond to emerging threats. Capacity-building challenges also persist at both national and local levels. Many personnel within environmental institutions lack specialized training in environmental science, law, and policy analysis, which affects the quality of decision-making and implementation outcomes (UNEP, 2019).

Environmental governance in Africa is also shaped by broader socio-economic and political conditions. High levels of poverty and unemployment often compel communities to engage in unsustainable resource use practices, such as illegal logging, poaching, and overfishing, as survival strategies. This creates tension between conservation objectives and livelihood needs. Political instability and weak governance systems further exacerbate environmental challenges. In conflict-affected regions,

environmental regulations are often ignored, and natural resources may be exploited to finance armed groups, leading to severe ecological degradation. Additionally, short-term political priorities frequently overshadow long-term environmental concerns. Governments may prioritize economic growth, infrastructure development, and revenue generation over environmental protection, especially in resource-dependent economies. This results in policy trade-offs that often disadvantage environmental sustainability. Cultural and behavioral factors also play a role, as limited environmental awareness and weak public engagement reduce pressure on governments to enforce environmental standards effectively. The challenges facing environmental policy implementation and governance in Africa are multidimensional and deeply interconnected. Policy fragmentation, institutional weaknesses, inadequate funding, and socio-political constraints collectively undermine the effectiveness of environmental governance systems. Addressing these challenges requires not only institutional reform and increased investment but also stronger political commitment, enhanced coordination mechanisms, and greater public participation. Without these reforms, efforts to conserve biodiversity and protect public health will remain significantly limited in their impact.

Conclusion

This study has examined the intricate relationship between biodiversity, conservation, and public health in Africa, with particular emphasis on the role of policy, governance, and environmental legislation. It has demonstrated that Africa's rich biodiversity, while central to ecological stability and human survival, is increasingly under threat from deforestation, climate change, urbanization, pollution, and unsustainable resource exploitation. These environmental pressures have far-reaching consequences, not only for ecosystems but also for public health outcomes, including the emergence of zoonotic diseases, food insecurity, and declining water and air quality. A key argument advanced in this work is that biodiversity loss and public health challenges in Africa are fundamentally interconnected and cannot be effectively addressed in isolation. The degradation of ecosystems directly undermines ecosystem services that sustain human well-being, thereby reinforcing the need for integrated environmental and health governance frameworks. In this regard, policy, governance, and environmental legislation emerge as central instruments for mediating human-environment interactions and promoting sustainability.

The study further highlights that although many African countries have developed comprehensive environmental policies and legal frameworks, their effectiveness is often limited by weak enforcement, institutional constraints, policy fragmentation, and inadequate funding. Governance challenges such as corruption, political interference, and limited coordination among institutions continue to undermine environmental management efforts. Nevertheless, promising developments including regional

cooperation, community-based conservation initiatives, and the integration of indigenous knowledge systems demonstrate potential pathways toward more effective and inclusive environmental governance. The importance of policy, governance, and environmental legislation is therefore reaffirmed as indispensable for achieving sustainable biodiversity conservation and safeguarding public health in Africa. Effective policies provide strategic direction, governance structures ensure coordination and participation, and legislation offers the legal backbone for enforcement and accountability. When these three elements function coherently, they create a robust framework capable of addressing complex environmental challenges in a holistic manner.

In final reflection, the future of biodiversity conservation and public health in Africa depends on some transformative shift toward integrated, participatory, and well-enforced environmental governance systems. Such systems must bridge the gap between law and practice, state and community, and science and indigenous knowledge. Only through such a comprehensive approach can Africa achieve ecological resilience, protect its invaluable biodiversity, and secure the health and well-being of present and future generations.

References

African Development Bank. (2021). *African economic outlook 2021: From debt resolution to growth*. African Development Bank Group.

Anderson, J. E. (2014). *Public policymaking: An introduction* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Berkes, F. (2012). *Sacred ecology* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Birnie, P., Boyle, A., & Redgwell, C. (2009). *International law and the environment* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Boyle, A. (2012). Human rights and the environment: Where next? *European Journal of International Law, 23*(3), 613–642.

Convention on Biological Diversity. (1992). *Convention on biological diversity*. United Nations.

Edwards, M. (2009). *Civil society* (2nd ed.). Polity Press.

Fabricius, C., Koch, E., Magome, H., & Turner, S. (Eds.). (2004). *Rights, resources and rural development: Community-based natural resource management in Southern Africa*. Earthscan.

Food and Agriculture Organization. (2020). *The state of the world's forests 2020: Forests, biodiversity and people*. FAO.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2021). *Climate change 2021: The*

physical science basis. Cambridge University Press.

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Indigenous Ecological Knowledge Systems: Religion, Philosophy and the Environment. UK: AuthorHouse*

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Eco-Philosophy: Cosmology, Consciousness and the Environment. UK: AuthorHouse*

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Eco-Theology: Meaning, Forms and Expressions. UK: AuthorHouse*

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Ecological Spirituality: Perspectives on Anthroposophy and Environmentalism. A Hybrid of Approaches. UK: AuthorHouse*

Lemos, M. C., & Agrawal, A. (2006). Environmental governance. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 31*, 297–325.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis*. Island Press.

Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2018). *Fighting corruption is dangerous: The story behind the headlines*. MIT Press.

Ribot, J. C. (2002). *Democratic decentralization of natural resources: Institutionalizing popular participation*. World Resources Institute.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2011). *Environmental assessment of Ogoniland*. UNEP.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). *Global environment outlook – GEO-6: Healthy planet, healthy people*. Cambridge University Press.

World Health Organization. (2020). *Biodiversity, climate change and health: Integrating biodiversity into public health policy*. World Health Organization.