LEADERSHIP FOR CLIMATE ACTION, ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY, AND GREEN GOVERNANCE

Okuji Obinna Oreh, PhD
Department of Finance, National Open University of Nigeria
drooreh@gmail.com

Victor Chidera Oguh
Department of Entrepreneurship, Veritas University Abuja, Nigeria
Oguhvictor20@gmail.com

Mogu, Simon Ijing
Department of Accounting, Veritas University Abuja, Nigeria
simij300@gmail.com

Abstract
This study examines the role of leadership in advancing climate action, environmental sustainability, and green governance in Africa. Against intensifying climate change and growing policy commitments such as the African Union's Agenda 2063, the study explores how leadership styles shape environmental outcomes and sustainability transitions. Grounded in leadership theory and climate governance frameworks, it analyses the mechanisms through which leadership drives climate resilience, innovation, and coordinated environmental action. Adopting a qualitative analytical approach, the study synthesises conceptual insight and policy-oriented evidence to examine five leadership dimensions- transformational, visionary, crisis, economic, and technological- and their contribution to climate mitigation, adaptation, and sustainable development. Particular attention is given to leadership roles in renewable energy adoption, institutional strengthening, and stakeholder collaboration. The findings show that effective leadership is central to translating climate policy into action: transformational and visionary leadership sustain long-term commitment and strategic direction, economic and technological leadership drive green growth and innovation, crisis leadership strengthens adaptive capacity, and accountability mechanisms sustain transparency and effectiveness, while weak leadership structures significantly constrain environmental governance. The study contributes to climate governance scholarship by positioning leadership as a pivotal, multi-dimensional, and institutionally contingent determinant of sustainability outcomes in Africa, concluding that environmental sustainability requires integrated leadership approaches that align policy, innovation, and institutional capacity with climate action priorities.

Keywords: Leadership; Climate action; Environmental sustainability; Green governance; Climate resilience and Sustainable development.

Introduction
The accelerating pace of climate change is one of the most consequential governance

challenges confronting humanity in the twenty-first century. Rising temperatures, extreme weather, sea-level rise, and biodiversity loss are reshaping ecosystems, economies, and communities worldwide, with disproportionate severity in Africa, which contributes less than 4% of global cumulative emissions yet bears the heaviest burden of climate impacts, food insecurity, water stress, disease, coastal inundation, and displacement, at a scale that threatens decades of development progress (IPCC, 2022; Boko et al., 2007). In this context, the question of leadership, who leads the response, with what vision, through what mechanisms, and with what institutional architecture, is not merely academic; it is a matter of survival, justice, and civilisational priority.

Leadership is a well-established determinant of collective responses to complex challenges (Burns, 1978; Bass & Avolio, 1994). In the climate domain it operates across scales, from heads of state committing to emissions targets to community leaders mobilising local adaptive responses, requiring a framework rich enough to capture how different leadership styles and institutional roles contribute to climate action (Levin et al., 2012; Biermann et al., 2012). Africa's 54 countries, spanning widely divergent stages of development, institutional capacity, and climate vulnerability, provide a natural experiment in the conditions under which leadership approaches succeed or fail (Adeola & Evans, 2020; AfDB, 2022), against the benchmark set by the African Union's Agenda 2063 commitment to inclusive, climate-resilient development.

This study examines how leadership styles and institutional configurations shape climate action, environmental sustainability, and green governance in Africa, analysing five dimensions, transformational, visionary, crisis, economic, and technological, and their distinct contributions to mitigation, adaptation, green growth, and institutional accountability. Adopting a qualitative analytical approach synthesising conceptual frameworks, case evidence, and policy analysis, the study positions leadership as a pivotal determinant of sustainability outcomes and articulates the institutional conditions under which it translates into effective climate policy and action.

Theoretical Foundations: Leadership and Climate Governance

Leadership Theory in the Sustainability Framework

Leadership scholarship has evolved from trait-based approaches toward relational, contextually sensitive frameworks suited to multi-level, multi-actor governance (Burns, 1978; Bass, 1985). Transformational leadership theory, articulated by Burns (1978) and operationalised by Bass and Avolio (1994), is particularly influential in sustainability governance: transformational leaders inspire followers to transcend self-interest for a higher collective purpose, well suited to mobilising sustained commitment to climate objectives whose costs are borne now and whose benefits accrue over decades (Egri & Herman, 2000). Visionary leadership, articulating credible long-term visions and aligning institutional action with them, is similarly critical for the net-zero transition, distinguished by its emphasis on foresight and strategic roadmapping rather than primarily motivational qualities (Shrivastava et al., 2020; Mumford & Licuanan,

2004). Crisis leadership theory extends this architecture through adaptive governance, the capacity of institutions and leaders to recognise, respond to, and learn from climate-related shocks, an increasingly critical determinant of resilience as extreme weather, droughts, and displacement intensify (Folke et al., 2005; Heifetz et al., 2009).

Climate Governance Frameworks and the Role of Leadership

Climate governance is the formal and informal rules, institutions, and norms through which decisions about mitigation and adaptation are made and enforced across governance levels, from the global UNFCCC architecture to national governments and civil society (Biermann et al., 2012). Within this polycentric architecture (Ostrom, 2010), leadership is the integrating mechanism that transforms fragmented, multi-actor systems into coherent responses. Africa's climate governance landscape layers international commitments, continental frameworks such as Agenda 2063, regional mechanisms, and national institutions of widely varying quality (AfDB, 2022; UNFCCC, 2015); leadership failure at any level can create governance gaps that undermine implementation, a persistent challenge across the continent (Nhamo & Mjimba, 2020).

Transformational and Visionary Leadership For Sustainability Transitions

Transformational Leadership and Climate Commitment

Transformational leadership shapes climate governance through idealised influence (credible role-modelling), inspirational motivation (compelling visions that mobilise commitment), intellectual stimulation (challenging assumptions about the incompatibility of growth and sustainability), and individualised consideration (tailoring engagement to stakeholders' concerns) (Bass & Avolio, 1994; Egri & Herman, 2000). Rwanda illustrates its effect at the national level: President Paul Kagame's sustained commitment to environmental governance, articulated through Vision 2050 and the Green Growth and Climate Resilience Strategy, has produced ambitious forest restoration targets, renewable energy expansion, and the integration of climate resilience into national planning, positioning climate action as central to Rwanda's development identity (World Bank, 2021; Nhamo & Mjimba, 2020). Similar dynamics are visible sub-nationally, where transformational city leadership within the C40 Cities network, spanning Accra, Cape Town, Lagos, and Nairobi, has shown that ambitious urban climate action is politically viable and economically advantageous (C40 Cities, 2023).

Visionary Leadership and Long-Term Sustainability Roadmaps

Visionary leadership contributes most distinctively through long-term sustainability roadmaps that provide direction for the transitions net-zero development requires, addressing the structural mismatch between the long-time horizons of climate change and the short-term incentives of electoral politics (Shrivastava et al., 2020). Agenda 2063 embodies this at the continental level, though its translation into outcomes

depends on national leaders adapting the framework, mobilising finance, and building implementation capacity (African Union, 2015). Morocco's Noor Ouarzazate Concentrated Solar Power complex, among the world's largest, embodies a decade-long visionary commitment to positioning Morocco as a clean energy leader and reaching 52% renewables in its electricity mix by 2030, sustained through high-level political commitment and innovative financing despite significant obstacles (IRENA, 2022; AfDB, 2022).

Crisis Leadership and Climate Resilience

Adaptive Leadership in Climate-Stressed Environments

Adaptive leadership, developed by Heifetz et al. (2009), holds that challenges such as climate change are "adaptive" rather than "technical": they cannot be resolved through existing institutional routines but require genuine change in values, behaviours, and institutional arrangements, mobilising constituents to experiment, learn, and adjust to often irreversible impacts while managing the resistance such change entails (Pelling, 2011). Africa's exposure to recurring crises, catastrophic flooding in Nigeria (2022), Cyclones Idai and Kenneth in Mozambique (2019), prolonged Sahel droughts, and El Niño-driven food crises, creates persistent testing environments for crisis leadership at every governance level (IPCC, 2022; OCHA, 2023). Mozambique's response to Cyclone Idai illustrates both the capacity and limits of such leadership: effective international coordination and President Filipe Nyusi's leadership secured a strong humanitarian response, yet reconstruction revealed limited capacity to translate crisis response into the deeper reforms, resilient building codes, coastal zone management, early warning upgrades, needed to reduce future vulnerability (OCHA, 2023; Boin et al., 2017).

Leadership for Early Warning, Preparedness, and Community Resilience

Proactive crisis leadership also depends on the institutional systems, early warning, preparedness, risk-sensitive land use planning, that reduce vulnerability before crises strike. The African Risk Capacity initiative, providing parametric insurance and rapid response financing to African Union member states, illustrates this continental institutional leadership, though its effectiveness depends on national ministerial champions and cross-agency coordination (ARC, 2023). At the community level, traditional authorities, local officials, and indigenous knowledge holders often provide the most direct resilience leadership where formal governance is weakly institutionalised, bridging national policy and community practice; strengthening this local capacity, through decentralisation and leadership development, remains a critical institutional priority (Agrawal & Perrin, 2009).

Economic And Technological Leadership For Green Growth

Economic Leadership and the Green Economy Transition

Economic leadership for climate action is the capacity to redirect economic incentives,

public investment, and fiscal policy toward low-carbon development, the green economy transition (UNEP, 2011). This is demanding in African contexts, where poverty reduction, employment, and energy access imperatives generate genuine tensions with climate objectives, and where constrained institutional capacity, fiscal space, and short-term political incentives limit governments' ability to design and implement green economy packages (AfDB, 2022; Fankhauser et al., 2013). It requires designing green fiscal frameworks, carbon pricing, and subsidy reform that redirect investment while managing distributional effects; the political courage to reform entrenched fossil fuel interests; and the ability to mobilise international climate finance to complement constrained domestic budgets (Fankhauser et al., 2013). Kenya's geothermal sector illustrates such leadership: KenGen's investment in the Olkaria complex has positioned Kenya as the world's seventh-largest geothermal producer, supplying over 750 MW of clean base-load electricity through decades of sustained investment and policy continuity across successive governments (KenGen, 2023; IRENA, 2022).

Technological Leadership and Innovation for Climate Solutions

Technological leadership is the capacity to identify, invest in, deploy, and scale emissions-reduction and adaptation technologies and to create enabling policy environments for innovation (Jacobsson & Lauber, 2006). Africa's challenge is distinctive: expanding energy access for roughly 600 million people who lack electricity, industrialising, and transforming agriculture, all within pathways consistent with the 1.5°C target, while pursuing technological leapfrogging that bypasses carbon-intensive developmental stages (UNCTAD, 2021). East Africa's off-grid solar expansion, enabling over 10 million households across Kenya, Tanzania, Ethiopia, and Rwanda to access clean electricity, reflects sustained technological leadership supported by development finance institutions and an innovative private sector (IEA, 2022). Sustained leadership also requires investment in research infrastructure and innovation ecosystems; institutions such as South Africa's Council for Scientific and Industrial Research and Morocco's Institute for Research in Solar Energy and New Energies provide the knowledge infrastructure underpinning national technological leadership commitments (AfDB, 2022).

Institutional Frameworks, Accountability, And Green Governance

Building Institutions for Environmental Governance

Green governance, the integration of environmental sustainability into the core frameworks and accountability systems of public governance, is both a product of effective leadership and a prerequisite for its sustained exercise (Lemos & Agrawal, 2006). It encompasses environmental legislation, governance institutions with genuine independence, transparency mechanisms such as environmental impact assessment, and judicial systems capable of enforcing environmental law. Institutional quality varies widely across Africa: a cluster of countries, including Botswana, Mauritius, Namibia, Rwanda, and Seychelles, have built relatively robust environmental

institutions and consistently outperform peers on governance indices, outcomes directly attributable to sustained, high-quality leadership (World Bank, 2023; Mo Ibrahim Foundation, 2022). Elsewhere, under-resourced ministries, captured impact-assessment processes, and judicial systems lacking capacity reflect institutional deficiencies that are themselves leadership failures, choices by successive leaders to prioritise short-term gains over long-term institutional investment (Nhamo & Mjimba, 2020).

Accountability, Transparency, and Stakeholder Collaboration

Effective green governance also requires robust accountability: international accountability through Nationally Determined Contribution review under the Paris Agreement (UNFCCC, 2015); parliamentary scrutiny; civil society accountability through advocacy and community monitoring; and corporate accountability through mandatory reporting and investor ESG scrutiny (Biermann et al., 2012). Pan-African youth climate movements have emerged as significant accountability actors, combining domestic advocacy with international engagement to pressure leaders who might otherwise deprioritise long-term climate commitments (UNEP, 2023). Climate challenges are inherently multi-stakeholder problems whose causes, consequences, and solutions are distributed across governments, businesses, civil society, and communities; effective climate leaders must therefore be coalition builders, capable of convening partnerships that combine governmental authority, business resources, civil society legitimacy, and community knowledge (Ostrom, 2010). The African Climate Foundation's support for African negotiators illustrates this multiplier effect, strengthening the continent's ability to advocate for the climate finance and technology transfer provisions central to its climate resilience and development prospects (ACF, 2023).

Discussion And Policy Implications

The analysis converges on two conclusions. First, leadership is not merely a facilitating factor for climate governance but a constitutive determinant of its quality and effectiveness; contrasts between high- and low-performing African climate governance systems are traceable, in substantial measure, to differences in leadership quality across transformational vision, crisis adaptive capacity, economic policy courage, and technological investment commitment. Second, effective climate leadership requires the integration of multiple leadership capabilities rather than the dominance of a single style, transformational leadership providing motivational foundations, visionary leadership strategic direction, crisis leadership flexibility and learning, economic leadership fiscal instruments, and technological leadership innovation capacity, mediated critically by institutional context: even the most visionary individual leaders are severely constrained where legislation, regulatory capacity, and accountability mechanisms are inadequate, while strong institutions can sustain governance quality through periods of weak political leadership.

These findings carry multi-level policy implications. The African Union should

strengthen the accountability mechanisms linking Agenda 2063 commitments to measurable governance performance and invest in peer learning on effective climate leadership practices. National governments should embed climate governance accountability within broader public sector accountability frameworks and invest in leadership development from senior officials to local government and traditional authorities. International development partners should move beyond project-specific financing toward sustained leadership and institutional capacity investment, incorporating leadership and governance quality criteria into their country engagement and allocation frameworks, including through the Green Climate Fund and the Loss and Damage Fund.

Conclusion

This study examined the role of leadership in advancing climate action, environmental sustainability, and green governance in Africa, analysing five leadership dimensions, transformational, visionary, crisis and adaptive, economic, and technological, and showing how each, when supported by effective institutions and accountability mechanisms, strengthens climate governance. African cases, Rwanda's environmental governance reforms, Morocco's renewable energy transition, Kenya's geothermal development, and East Africa's off-grid solar expansion, demonstrate that strong climate leadership generates measurable environmental and socio-economic benefits, while weak institutions, limited accountability, and short-term political interests continue to constrain governance effectiveness across much of the continent.

The study's principal scholarly contribution lies in reframing leadership, within African climate governance research, from a peripheral facilitating factor into a constitutive, multi-dimensional determinant of sustainability outcomes. Where prior scholarship has largely examined individual leadership styles or institutional quality in isolation, this study integrates five leadership dimensions into a single analytical framework and demonstrates, through cross-national African case evidence, that their effectiveness is conditional on institutional embeddedness rather than on individual leadership capability alone. This integrated, institutionally contingent framework offers a more complete account of the leadership-sustainability nexus than single-style or purely institutional analyses, and provides a transferable analytical lens for examining climate governance performance in other developing regions characterised by similarly fragile institutions and high climate vulnerability.

Future research should build on this framework in three directions: systematic comparative and quantitative studies testing the relative explanatory weight of the five leadership dimensions against institutional quality indicators across a larger sample of African states; longitudinal research tracking leadership transitions within individual countries to help establish the causal direction between leadership quality and institutional strengthening; and further inquiry into the leadership dynamics of sub-national and community-level actors, whose roles this study identifies as critical but

under-theorised relative to national executive leadership. Leadership failures carry substantial costs in ecosystem degradation, reduced agricultural productivity, displacement, and lost development opportunities; effective, institutionally embedded leadership, by contrast, can advance climate resilience, green growth, clean energy access, and sustainable livelihoods. Leadership is therefore not merely desirable but essential to achieving effective climate governance and sustainable development across Africa.

References

ACF. (2023). African Climate Foundation: Annual report 2022–2023. African Climate Foundation. https://africanclimate.foundation

Adeola, O., & Evans, O. (2020). Digital economy, energy efficiency, and environmental sustainability: New evidence from OECD economies. Nairobi Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 4(2), 63–73.

AfDB. (2022). African economic outlook 2022: Supporting climate resilience and a just energy transition in Africa. African Development Bank.

African Union. (2015). Agenda 2063: The Africa we want. African Union Commission.

Agrawal, A., & Perrin, N. (2009). Climate adaptation, local institutions, and rural livelihoods. In W. N. Adger, I. Lorenzoni, & K. O'Brien (Eds.), Adapting to climate change: Thresholds, values, governance (pp. 350–367). Cambridge University Press.

ARC. (2023). African Risk Capacity: Annual report 2022–2023. African Risk Capacity Group. https://www.arc.int

Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.

Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership. SAGE Publications.

Biermann, F., Abbott, K., Andresen, S., Bäckstrand, K., Bernstein, S., Betsill, M. M., Bulkeley, H., Cashore, B., Clapp, J., Folke, C., Gupta, A., Gupta, J., Haas, P. M., Jordan, A., Kanie, N., Kluvánková-Oravská, T., Lebel, L., Liverman, D., Meadowcroft, J., … Zondervan, R. (2012). Navigating the Anthropocene: Improving Earth system governance. Science, 335(6074), 1306–1307. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217255

Boin, A., Hart, P., Stern, E., & Sundelius, B. (2017). The politics of crisis management: Public leadership under pressure (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Boko, M., Niang, I., Nyong, A., Vogel, C., Githeko, A., Medany, M., Osman-Elasha, B., Tabo, R., & Yanda, P. (2007). Africa. In M. L. Parry et al. (Eds.), Climate change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability (pp. 433–467). Cambridge University Press.

Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. Harper & Row.

C40 Cities. (2023). C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group: Annual report 2022. C40 Cities. https://www.c40.org

Egri, C. P., & Herman, S. (2000). Leadership in the North American environmental sector: Values, leadership styles, and contexts of environmental leaders and

their organizations. Academy of Management Journal, 43(4), 571–604. https://doi.org/10.5465/1556357

Fankhauser, S., Bowen, A., Calel, R., Dechezleprêtre, A., Grover, D., Rydge, J., & Sato, M. (2013). Who will win the green race? In search of environmental competitiveness and innovation. Global Environmental Change, 23(5), 902–913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.05.007

Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., & Norberg, J. (2005). Adaptive governance of social-ecological systems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 30, 441–473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511

Heifetz, R., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2009). The practice of adaptive leadership: Tools and tactics for changing your organization and the world. Harvard Business Press.

IEA. (2022). Africa energy outlook 2022. International Energy Agency. https://www.iea.org/reports/africa-energy-outlook-2022

IPCC. (2022). Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability: Summary for policymakers (Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

IRENA. (2022). Renewable power generation costs in 2021. International Renewable Energy Agency.

Jacobsson, S., & Lauber, V. (2006). The politics and policy of energy system transformation: Explaining the German diffusion of renewable energy technology. Energy Policy, 34(3), 256–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2004.08.029

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Indigenous Ecological Knowledge Systems: Religion, Philosophy and the Environment. UK: AuthorHouse*

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Eco-Philosophy: Cosmology, Consciousness and the Environment. UK: AuthorHouse*

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Eco-Theology: Meaning, Forms and Expressions. UK: AuthorHouse*

Kanu, I. A. (2022). *African Ecological Spirituality: Perspectives on Anthroposophy and Environmentalism. A Hybrid of Approaches. UK: AuthorHouse*

KenGen. (2023). KenGen integrated annual report 2022–2023. Kenya Electricity Generating Company PLC.

Lemos, M. C., & Agrawal, A. (2006). Environmental governance. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 31, 297–325. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.31.042605.135621

Levin, K., Cashore, B., Bernstein, S., & Auld, G. (2012). Overcoming the tragedy of super wicked problems: Constraining our future selves to ameliorate global climate change. Policy Sciences, 45(2), 123–152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-012-9151-0

Mo Ibrahim Foundation. (2022). Ibrahim index of African governance: 2022 report. Mo Ibrahim Foundation.

Mumford, M. D., & Licuanan, B. (2004). Leading for innovation: Conclusions, issues,

and directions. The Leadership Quarterly, 15(1), 163–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2003.12.010

Nhamo, G., & Mjimba, V. (Eds.). (2020). Sustainable development goals and institutions of higher education. Springer.

OCHA. (2023). Africa: Humanitarian snapshot 2023. United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs.

Ostrom, E. (2010). Polycentric systems for coping with collective action and global environmental change. Global Environmental Change, 20(4), 550–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.07.004

Pelling, M. (2011). Adaptation to climate change: From resilience to transformation. Routledge.

Shrivastava, P., Zsolnai, L., Wasieleski, D., Stafford-Smith, M., Walker, T., Weber, O., Krosinsky, C., & Oram, D. (2020). Finance and management for the Anthropocene. Organization & Environment, 32(1), 26–41. https://doi.org/10.1177/1086026619831451

UNCTAD. (2021). Technology and innovation report 2021: Catching technological waves: Innovation with equity. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

UNEP. (2011). Towards a green economy: Pathways to sustainable development and poverty eradication. United Nations Environment Programme.

UNEP. (2023). Emissions gap report 2023: Broken record: Temperatures hit new highs, yet world fails to cut emissions. United Nations Environment Programme.

UNFCCC. (2015). Adoption of the Paris Agreement (Decision 1/CP.21). United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

World Bank. (2021). Rwanda green growth and climate resilience: National strategy for climate change and low carbon development. World Bank.

World Bank. (2023). Worldwide governance indicators 2023. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/worldwide-governance-indicators