AFRICAN RELATIONAL METAPHYSICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY: RETHINKING APPROACHES TO BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION

Adaora Ngozi Ogbonnaya
Department of Philosophy, Veritas University, Abuja
adaegwu@yahoo.co.uk

Michael Sunday Sasa, PhD
Department of Philosophy, Veritas University, Abuja
dvc@veritas.edu.ng

Ikechukwu Anthony Kanu, PhD
Department of Philosophy, Veritas University, Abuja
ikee_mario@yahoo.com

Abstract
Africa's biodiversity loss and environmental degradation pose growing ecological, social, and economic challenges. While contemporary scientific, technological, and policy-oriented approaches provide important foundations for conservation, their effectiveness can be strengthened through engagement with culturally grounded ethical and ontological perspectives. This paper examines how Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike, as African relational metaphysical frameworks, complement contemporary environmental ethics in biodiversity conservation. Using qualitative conceptual analysis, critical hermeneutics, and comparative philosophy, the study argues that these philosophies enrich conservation discourse by emphasizing relationality, ecological complementarity, communal responsibility, indigenous knowledge, and collaborative stewardship. Empirical cases from Africa further illustrate the relevance of sacred landscapes, customary institutions, and community participation to biodiversity conservation. The paper concludes that integrating African relational metaphysics with contemporary conservation science and policy can provide a more holistic, culturally legitimate, and participatory framework for sustainable environmental governance in Africa.

Keywords: Ubuntu; Ibuanyidanda; Igwebuike; African relational metaphysics; biodiversity conservation; indigenous ecological knowledge

Introduction
Africa faces increasing ecological challenges, including biodiversity loss, deforestation, desertification, pollution, and climate change, threatening ecological sustainability, food security, public health, and socioeconomic development (IPCC, 2023; IPBES, 2019; Kanu, 2022). Although scientific research, technological innovation, and environmental policies have advanced conservation, sustainable environmental stewardship also requires ethical frameworks that shape responsible human–nature relationships (Chemhuru, 2019; Murove, 2004). Western environmental ethics, particularly Leopold's *Land Ethic* and Naess's *Deep Ecology*, have significantly enriched conservation discourse by emphasizing ecological integrity and the intrinsic value of nature (Leopold, 1949; Naess, 1973). However, scholarship recognizes the value of engaging Indigenous knowledge systems and culturally grounded environmental ethics in conservation practice (Chemhuru, 2019; Chigangaidze, 2023).

African relational metaphysics offers a complementary perspective. Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike understand reality in relational terms, emphasizing interconnectedness,

complementarity, solidarity, and communal responsibility as foundations of human and ecological well-being (Ramose, 1999; Asouzu, 2011; Kanu, 2017). Rather than displacing Western environmental ethics, these perspectives can enrich conservation discourse by grounding ecological responsibility in African communal worldviews and Indigenous knowledge systems.

Despite growing interest in Indigenous environmental ethics, limited scholarship has brought Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike together as a coherent philosophical framework for biodiversity conservation. Existing approaches remain predominantly scientific, technological, legal, and policy-oriented, with comparatively less attention to the ontological and ethical foundations of conservation in African philosophy (Chemhuru, 2019; Kanu, 2023). This paper therefore examines these three philosophies as complementary African relational metaphysical resources for rethinking biodiversity conservation and environmental responsibility. Through qualitative conceptual analysis, it argues that they can strengthen contemporary environmental ethics by integrating ecological sustainability with communal responsibility, participatory stewardship, and Indigenous knowledge, thereby contributing a culturally grounded philosophical framework for biodiversity conservation in Africa.

Statement of the Problem

Africa faces significant biodiversity loss manifested in deforestation, desertification, species extinction, and environmental pollution (IPBES, 2019; Kanu, 2022). Although Western environmental ethics, particularly Leopold's *Land Ethic* and Naess's *Deep Ecology*, have shaped contemporary conservation discourse, their application in African contexts calls for greater engagement with Indigenous knowledge, communal values, and culturally grounded environmental stewardship (Chemhuru, 2019; Murove, 2004). Yet limited scholarship has integrated African relational metaphysical frameworks, particularly Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike, into a coherent philosophical approach to biodiversity conservation.

This study addresses this gap by examining how these complementary philosophies can enrich conservation discourse. It argues that African relational worldviews provide an ethically grounded and culturally legitimate framework that complements scientific and policy-oriented strategies while strengthening community participation and environmental responsibility.

Literature Review

Conceptual Framework

Biodiversity conservation refers to the protection, sustainable management, and restoration of biological diversity, habitats, and the ecological processes that sustain life (IPBES, 2019). Contemporary conservation draws on scientific knowledge, environmental policy, and community participation to address threats such as habitat loss, climate change, pollution, and unsustainable resource use. Western environmental ethics, particularly Leopold's *Land Ethic* and Naess's *Deep Ecology*, provide important normative foundations by emphasizing ecological integrity and the value of nature, while Indigenous knowledge and culturally grounded practices can complement these approaches (Chemhuru, 2019; Leopold, 1949; Naess, 1973).

Environmental responsibility denotes the ethical obligation of individuals, communities, and institutions to protect and sustainably manage the environment for present and future generations. In African thought, this responsibility is fundamentally communal, grounded in reciprocity, solidarity, and the interdependence of human beings, nature, and the spiritual order (Bujo, 2001; Chemhuru, 2019). African relational metaphysics conceives reality as an interconnected whole in which human beings, nature, ancestors, and the spiritual order exist in relationships of mutual

dependence and complementarity (Asouzu, 2011; Kanu, 2017; Ramose, 1999). Biodiversity conservation, therefore, becomes not merely a technical or policy concern but an ethical responsibility toward the wider community of life. This relational orientation provides the philosophical basis for examining Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike as complementary resources for environmental stewardship.

Table 1: Complementary Perspectives on Biodiversity Conservation: Western Environmental Ethics and African Relational Metaphysics

Dimension	Western Environmental Ethics	African Relational Metaphysics	Complementary Contribution to Biodiversity Conservation
Philosophical Orientation	Ecological integrity, intrinsic value of nature, and environmental stewardship (Leopold, 1949; Naess, 1973).	Relational existence, communal responsibility, and harmony among humans, nature, and the spiritual order (Ramose, 1999; Asouzu, 2011; Kanu, 2017).	Combines universal ecological principles with culturally grounded environmental ethics.
Human–Nature Relationship	Humans are members of the wider biotic community with moral obligations toward nature.	Humans exist within an interconnected web involving nature, community, ancestors, and the spiritual world.	Promotes ecological responsibility alongside relational stewardship.
Ethical Foundation	Intrinsic value, stewardship, ecological justice, and sustainability.	Reciprocity, complementarity, solidarity, and communal obligation.	Connects broader environmental ethics with community-based responsibility.
Conservation Approach	Scientific research, environmental policy, regulation, and protected-area management.	Indigenous ecological knowledge, customary institutions, communal participation, and cultural stewardship.	Combines scientific evidence with local knowledge and community participation.
Governance Perspective	Institutional governance through environmental legislation and policy.	Participatory governance through customary authority, communal norms,	Supports collaboration between formal institutions and local communities.

Source: Author Created

The comparison demonstrates that Western environmental ethics and African relational metaphysics are better understood as complementary than competing traditions: the former contributes established normative and institutional approaches to conservation, while the latter adds communal, relational, and culturally embedded dimensions that can strengthen their application in African contexts.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in African relational metaphysics, which conceives reality as an interconnected whole sustained by mutual dependence, complementarity, and communal responsibility (Asouzu, 2011; Kanu, 2017; Ramose, 1999). From this perspective, biodiversity conservation is not merely a scientific or policy concern but an ethical responsibility toward the wider community of life. Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike therefore provide complementary lenses for interpreting environmental responsibility and conservation.

Ubuntu emphasizes relational personhood, solidarity, and communal responsibility, expressed in the principle that personhood is constituted through relationships with others (Ramose, 1999; Metz, 2011). Applied to environmental ethics, this relational ethic extends responsibility beyond human communities to the natural world, framing biodiversity conservation as a shared obligation connected to collective well-being. Ibuanyidanda, developed by Asouzu, centres on complementarity and the idea that realities are mutually dependent "missing links" within an interconnected whole (Asouzu, 2011). Applied to biodiversity, this perspective highlights the interdependence of humans, species, and ecosystems and suggests that environmental degradation results from disrupting relationships that sustain ecological life. Igwebuike, developed by Kanu, emphasizes unity in diversity, solidarity, and collective strength (Kanu, 2017). In conservation, it provides a basis for collaborative stewardship by encouraging cooperation among governments, communities, traditional institutions, scientists, and civil society in protecting shared ecological resources. Together, these philosophies provide a coherent relational framework: Ubuntu establishes communal ethical responsibility, Ibuanyidanda explains ecological complementarity, and Igwebuike emphasizes collective and collaborative stewardship. They therefore complement scientific and policy-oriented conservation by strengthening its ethical, cultural, and participatory dimensions.

Empirical Studies

Empirical studies indicate that biodiversity conservation in Africa can be strengthened when scientific approaches are complemented by Indigenous knowledge, customary institutions, and community participation. Sacred landscapes and communal governance systems have long provided practical mechanisms for environmental stewardship across African societies (Chemhuru, 2019; Murove, 2004). In Ghana, sacred groves protected through customary laws, spiritual beliefs, and communal responsibility continue to support biodiversity conservation, illustrating how cultural values can reinforce formal conservation efforts (Chemhuru, 2019). Among the Shona of Zimbabwe, traditional taboos regulating hunting, tree felling, and river use have similarly supported sustainable resource management by recognizing human–nature interdependence (Murove, 2004). These practices resonate with Ubuntu's communal responsibility and Ibuanyidanda's principle of complementarity.

Nigerian cases further demonstrate this relationship. The Osun-Osogbo Sacred Grove shows how indigenous religious traditions, customary authority, and community participation can sustain forest biodiversity (Adeyanju et al., 2022; Falade & Taiwo, 2020). In Cross River State, community-based forest conservation involving local communities, traditional institutions, government agencies, and conservation organizations demonstrates the value of collaborative governance for forest management and biodiversity protection (Ite, 1996; Oates et al., 2004). Recent evidence from southeastern Nigeria likewise shows that sacred forests, totemic traditions, and community stewardship can function as nature-based approaches to biodiversity conservation and climate-change mitigation through customary laws and traditional ecological knowledge (Nwafor et al., 2026). These cases demonstrate that African relational principles are reflected in longstanding conservation practices. Ubuntu highlights communal responsibility, Ibuanyidanda ecological interdependence, and Igwebuike collective stewardship. These principles complement scientific and policy-oriented conservation by strengthening its ethical, cultural, and participatory dimensions.

Aim and Objectives

This paper examines how Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike, as African relational metaphysical frameworks, complement contemporary environmental ethics in advancing biodiversity conservation. Specifically, it seeks to:

1. Examine the relationship between African relational metaphysics and biodiversity conservation;
2. Analyse the contributions of Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike to environmental responsibility;
3. Assess selected African conservation practices through these philosophical perspectives; and
4. Demonstrate how African relational metaphysics complements contemporary environmental ethics in promoting collaborative conservation.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative conceptual methodology informed by critical hermeneutics and comparative philosophy. Conceptual research is appropriate to philosophical inquiry because it facilitates the critical analysis, interpretation, and synthesis of ideas rather than empirical measurement (Stebbins, 2001). The study therefore examines African relational metaphysics as a philosophical framework for biodiversity conservation. Critical hermeneutics guides the interpretation of African philosophical texts and Indigenous knowledge traditions. Drawing on Gadamer (1975) and Ricoeur (1981), it considers the historical and cultural contexts of meaning while critically examining the assumptions underlying environmental responsibility and conservation. This approach is used to interpret Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike as resources for ecological stewardship.

Comparative philosophy provides the basis for examining convergence and complementarity between African relational metaphysics and Western environmental ethics, particularly Leopold's *Land Ethic* and Naess's *Deep Ecology* (Mall, 1998). Through conceptual and comparative analysis, the study relates communal responsibility, complementarity, and collaborative stewardship to contemporary biodiversity conservation. The methodology thus enables a philosophically grounded assessment of how African relational perspectives can complement scientific and policy-oriented approaches to environmental governance in Africa.

Discussion and Findings

The analysis indicates that Africa's biodiversity crisis, including deforestation in the Congo Basin, land degradation in the Sahel, and climate-related pressures, requires conservation approaches that combine scientific knowledge with culturally grounded ethical frameworks (Global Forest Watch, 2025; UNCCD, 2024). While contemporary environmental ethics and conservation policies provide important scientific and normative foundations, the findings suggest that their effectiveness can be strengthened through Indigenous ecological knowledge, communal institutions, and participatory governance.

A central finding is that African relational metaphysics provides a complementary ethical and ontological framework for biodiversity conservation. Western environmental ethics contributes principles concerning ecological integrity and the value of nature, while Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike deepen these principles through communal responsibility, ecological complementarity, and collaborative stewardship. The empirical cases examined; including sacred groves in Ghana, Shona environmental traditions in Zimbabwe, the Osun-Osogbo Sacred Grove, community-based forest management in Cross River State, and sacred forests in southeastern Nigeria, demonstrate that these relational principles are reflected in living conservation practices grounded in customary institutions and Indigenous ecological knowledge (Adeyanju et al., 2022; Nwafor et al., 2026).

The findings further show that conservation is more likely to achieve durable outcomes when technical interventions are accompanied by local participation and ownership. The Great Green Wall illustrates the importance of community engagement in large-scale ecological restoration (UNCCD, 2024), while continuing deforestation in the Congo Basin highlights the limitations of approaches that inadequately incorporate local and Indigenous governance structures (Global Forest Watch, 2025). These cases support the argument that scientific conservation is not weakened but strengthened when complemented by culturally legitimate institutions and ethical frameworks.

Accordingly, African relational metaphysics should not replace contemporary conservation science or environmental policy but should complement them. Ubuntu provides the ethical emphasis on communal responsibility; Ibuanyidanda offers an ontological account of ecological interdependence and complementarity; and Igwebuike emphasizes collective strength and collaborative stewardship. Their integration with scientific and policy-oriented approaches therefore offers a more holistic, culturally responsive, and participatory framework for biodiversity conservation in Africa.

Conclusion

Africa's biodiversity crisis demands conservation approaches that are scientifically effective, ethically grounded, and culturally legitimate. This study has argued that African relational metaphysics provides an important ethical and ontological foundation for enriching contemporary biodiversity conservation. Rather than treating African and Western traditions as competing paradigms, it demonstrates their complementarity: contemporary environmental ethics provides important scientific and normative foundations, while Ubuntu, Ibuanyidanda, and Igwebuike deepen conservation through communal responsibility, ecological complementarity, and collaborative stewardship.

The study's principal contribution is to demonstrate that these African relational philosophies are not merely indigenous intellectual traditions but enduring frameworks with contemporary relevance for biodiversity conservation and environmental governance. Their integration with conservation science, environmental policy, and Indigenous ecological knowledge can strengthen

the cultural legitimacy, community participation, and ethical foundations of sustainable environmental practice.

The study therefore concludes that biodiversity conservation in Africa is best advanced through constructive dialogue among scientific knowledge, environmental policy, Indigenous knowledge, and African relational metaphysics. Such integration offers a more holistic, participatory, and context-sensitive approach to environmental governance while affirming biodiversity conservation as both an ecological and ethical responsibility.

Recommendations

In light of the findings, this study recommends that African governments, regional organizations, and environmental agencies integrate the ethical and ontological insights of African relational metaphysics into biodiversity conservation policies and governance frameworks. Conservation strategies should complement technocratic approaches with principles of communal responsibility, ecological complementarity, and collaborative stewardship. Conservation programmes should systematically document and preserve Indigenous ecological knowledge, including sacred groves, customary conservation practices, traditional ecological institutions, and community-based resource management, while prioritizing participatory approaches that strengthen collaboration among governments, local communities, traditional authorities, scientists, and civil society.

Educational institutions, researchers, environmental practitioners, and policymakers should also promote interdisciplinary engagement among African relational metaphysics, contemporary environmental ethics, and conservation science. Such collaboration can support the development of culturally responsive, scientifically informed, and ethically grounded approaches to biodiversity conservation in Africa.

References

Adeyanju, S. O., Bulkan, J., Onyekwelu, J. C., Peterson-St-Laurent, G., Kozak, R., Sunderland, T., & Stimm, B. (2022). Drivers of biodiversity conservation in sacred groves: A comparative study of three sacred groves in Southwest Nigeria. *International Journal of the Commons, 16*(1), 94–107. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijc.1143

Asouzu, I. I. (2011). *Ibuanyidanda: New complementary ontology*. Transaction Publishers.

Bujo, B. (2001). *Foundations of an African ethic: Beyond the universal claims of Western morality*. Crossroad.

Chemhuru, M. (2019). *African environmental ethics: A critical introduction*. Springer.

Chigangaidze, R. K. (2023). Environmental social work through the African philosophy of Ubuntu: A conceptual analysis. *International Social Work, 66*(6), 1845–1856. https://doi.org/10.1177/00208728211073382

Falade, O. F., & Taiwo, A. J. (2020). Forest structure and carbon stocks of Osun-Osogbo Sacred Grove, Nigeria. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation, 12*(1), 25–32. https://doi.org/10.5897/IJBC2019.1304

Gadamer, H.-G. (1975). *Truth and method*. Sheed & Ward.

Global Forest Watch. (2025). *Congo Basin deforestation data 2024*. World Resources Institute.

Ibeh, J. I., & Kanu, I. A. (2022). "The church and ecological concerns: A dogmatic systematic approach." *AKU: An African Journal of Contemporary Research,* 3(2), 176-193.

Ibeh, J. I., & Kanu, I. A. (2022). "Human dimension of the ecological crisis in Nigeria: The imperatives of *Caritas in Veritate*. *Journal of African Studies and Sustainable Development,* 3(2), 254-270.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2023). *Climate change 2023: Synthesis report*.

IPCC.
Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. (2019). *Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services*. IPBES.
Ite, U. E. (1996). Community perceptions of the Cross River National Park, Nigeria. *Environmental Conservation, 23*(4), 351–357. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892900039217
Kanu, I. A. (2017). *Igwebuike* and the logic (*Nka*) of African philosophy. *Igwebuike: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities, 3*(1), 1–13.
Kanu, I. A. (2023). The ecological value of Igbo spirituality. *Harvard Divinity Bulletin, 51*(1–2), 47–54.
Kanu, I. A. (2022). "Nigeria and the Question of Climate Change." *Ekpoma Review: Philosophy and Theology Journal,* 9, 1-12.
Kanu, I. A. (2022). "African Eco-Spirituality: An Igwebuike Indigenous Response to Modern Ecological Crisis." *TOLLE LEGE: An Augustinian Journal of Philosophy and Theology,* 4(1), 1-51.
Kanu, I. A. (2021). *African Indigenous Ecological Knowledge Systems: Religion, Philosophy and the Environment. UK: AuthorHouse*
Kanu, I. A. (2021). *African Eco-Philosophy: Cosmology, Consciousness and the Environment. UK: AuthorHouse*
Kanu, I. A. (2021). *African Eco-Theology: Meaning, Forms and Expressions. UK: AuthorHouse*
Kanu, I. A. (2021). *African Ecological Spirituality: Perspectives on Anthroposophy and Environmentalism. A Hybrid of Approaches. UK: AuthorHouse*
Leopold, A. (1949). *A sand county almanac*. Oxford University Press.
Mall, R. A. (1998). Das Konzept einer interkulturellen Philosophie. *Polylog*.
Mbiti, J. S. (1990). *African religions and philosophy* (2nd ed.). Heinemann.
Metz, T. (2011). Ubuntu as a moral theory and human rights in South Africa. *African Human Rights Law Journal, 11*(2), 532–559.
Murove, M. F. (2004). An African commitment to ecological conservation: The Shona concepts of *Ukama* and *Ubuntu*. *Mankind Quarterly, 45*(2), 195–215. https://doi.org/10.46469/mq.2004.45.2.3
Naess, A. (1973). The shallow and the deep, long-range ecology movement: A summary. *Inquiry, 16*(1–4), 95–100.
Nwafor, F. I., Njoku, E. U., Okuh-Ikeme, P. C., Amadi, C. C., Ozokolie, C. B., Nweze, A. E., & Oloto, C. (2026). Totems and sacred groves in southeast Nigeria: Indigenous nature-based solutions for climate change mitigation and biodiversity conservation. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 14*, Article 1732469. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2026.1732469
Oates, J. F., Bergl, R. A., & Linder, J. M. (2004). *Africa's Gulf of Guinea forests: Biodiversity patterns and conservation priorities* (Advances in Applied Biodiversity Science No. 6). Conservation International.
Ramose, M. B. (1999). *African philosophy through Ubuntu*. Mond Books.
Ricoeur, P. (1981). *Hermeneutics and the human sciences: Essays on language, action and interpretation* (J. B. Thompson, Ed. & Trans.). Cambridge University Press.
Stebbins, R. A. (2001). *Exploratory research in the social sciences*. Sage.
United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification. (2024). *Sahel desertification and Great Green Wall progress report*. UNCCD.