

THE PLACE OF FOREST CONSERVATION FOR SOCIAL PEACE AND A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

UWAKWE, ANTHONY CHIEMERIE

*Department of Philosophy,
Alex Ekwueme Federal University,
Ndufu-Alike, Nigeria
Uwakwechiemerie2020@gmail.com*

Abstract

The relationship between forest conservation and social peace would be examined in this research, and it would offer a philosophical look at the role of forest conservation in the promotion of a sustainable future. Forest Conservation is the practice of protecting, managing and restoring forest ecosystems to ensure their long-term health and ecological functions. Despite the awareness of the world about the negative impacts of environmental degradation, the unsustainable use of forest resources, and the consequences of forest destruction, the world continues to suffer from the consequences of these unsustainable activities and social unrest, especially for forest-dependent societies. This work aims to philosophically explore the ethical, social, and environmental aspects of forest conservation. The objective of the research would be to explore the ethical responsibility of individuals and society to forest preservation, to examine the relationship between environmental sustainability and social bond, and to offer a plan for the promotion of a sustainable future. The significance of this research lies in its contribution to environmental ethics, social philosophy, and sustainable development by emphasising the role of forest preservation. Various articles, books, and journals were used to obtain materials for the research, and the hermeneutic method was adopted. This method is essential for the research as it provides a deeper understanding of the meaning and interpretation of forest preservation in the context of social peace. Forest preservation, according to the research, is not just important for the environment but also a moral value that could contribute to the unity of society,

the well-being of the environment, and the well-being of society.

Keywords: *Forest Conservation, Social Peace, Environmental Ethics, Sustainable Development, Human-Nature relationship, Environmental justice, and Biodiversity preservation.*

Introduction

Forests have traditionally played a central role in the survival and existence of humanity, as well as the natural environment. Traditionally, early civilisations relied on the forest environment for their livelihood. They derived their food, shelter, medicine, and spiritual values from the forests. Forests, therefore, played both material and spiritual roles in the existence and survival of early civilisations. Today, forests are important in the maintenance of global ecological stability. It is estimated that forests support over 80% of the global biodiversity and sustain livelihoods for about 1.6 billion people globally (United Nations 2). Forest conservation is no longer seen as an environmental issue, but it is increasingly being perceived as a philosophical and socio-political issue with deep roots in human survival, justice, and peace. Forests are not just trees; they play important roles in the maintenance of life, the regulation of the climate, and the support of livelihoods globally. Therefore, any discussion on the conservation and maintenance of the forests must start with the recognition of the importance of the forests to the natural environment and humanity. The early conservation theorists, such as Gifford Pinchot, spoke about the “*wise use*” of the resources found in forests, where the concept of conservation aimed to provide a balance between the needs of the people and the stability of the ecosystem (Elliott 12). This is where the contemporary sustainable discourse on forests as not just economic entities but as shared inheritances begins.

However, in spite of the increasing global concern over issues of environmental sustainability, deforestation and forest degradation continue to rise at alarming rates. It has been estimated that over 420 million hectares of forest have been lost globally since 1990 because of human activities (FAO & UNEP, cited in Savari et al.,..5). The question, therefore, remains whether or not the strategies currently in place to ensure the sustainability of the environment, in this case, forests, are truly effective. The problem, therefore, lies not only in the degradation of the environment but also in the absence of an integrated philosophical framework that can link forest conservation with social peace, justice, and well-being. The objective, therefore, was to offer a philosophical examination of forest conservation in relation to social peace and sustainable development, which consists of an examination of the historical and conceptual foundations of forest conservation, an analysis of the relationship between forest preservation and social peace, an examination of the ethical and

philosophical underpinnings of environmental stewardship, and the development of an integrated framework for forest conservation.

From a philosophical point of view, these roles demonstrate the interconnectedness of human existence with the natural world, thus supporting environmental ethical arguments. The ways to achieve a sustainable future have been directed by several concerning questions: What are the historical and philosophical foundations of forest conservation? How does forest conservation contribute to social peace and stability? What ethical principles support the preservation of forest ecosystems? In what ways does community involvement influence sustainable forest management? And, how can forest conservation be restructured to promote both environmental sustainability and social justice?. Apart from ecological significance, recent research has also highlighted the significance of the relationship between forest conservation and social peace. From the philosophical point of view, this intersection is also important because it prompts one to ponder the question of justice, equity, and the moral duty of the current generation towards the next. Indeed, the idea of sustainable development, which means satisfying the needs of the current generation without compromising the capacity of the next to satisfy their own needs, is revealed to be one of the main ideas at work in this philosophical discourse.

Conceptual Clarifications

A detailed and profound philosophical analysis of forest conservation and social peace demands that the main terms incorporated in this subject be clearly explained and differentiated. It is thus imperative to explain some of the main terms, such as forest, conservation, forest conservation, social peace, sustainability, and Biodiversity preservation, in relation to classical and modern thoughts.

Forest, in its simplest form, is a vast area filled with trees and other associated biodiversity, including plants, animals, and micro-organisms, soil, and water. Moving beyond this literal meaning, forests are best described as a system that maintains life processes on earth. The philosophical understanding of forests is best described as a community of life, rather than a resource to be exploited. As one of the earliest thinkers on the environment, Aldo Leopold described forests as a place where humans are “members and citizens” rather than masters (Leopold 204). This understanding changes the meaning of forests to something that is no longer just a passive object but a morally significant entity that is worthy of respect. Moving further, recent studies on forests have shown that forests are a system that regulates the climate, promotes biodiversity, and supports a population of millions of people worldwide. Thus, a forest is an ecological system and a socio-cultural space.

Forest conservation is a specialised concept of the general concept of conservation.

Conservation of forests is the protection, management, and restoration of forests in a manner that ensures the sustainability of the forests. There are several aspects: Protection: avoiding deforestation, degradation, and illegal use. Sustainable use: the balance between people's demands and nature's limits. Restoration: the recovery of degraded forest ecosystems. Significantly, forest conservation is a multidisciplinary approach involving ecology, economics, and the social sciences. This is because it understands the close relationship between forests and people. Philosophically, the approach to forest conservation can be seen as the shift from the anthropocentric worldview to the ecocentric worldview.

Sustainability is a core concept for both forest conservation and social peace. Sustainability can be defined as our ability to meet our needs without compromising our ability to meet our needs in the future (Brundtland 43). In terms of forest conservation, sustainability can be defined as: Maintenance of biodiversity, continuous provision of ecosystem services, and balancing exploitation and replenishment. It is important to note that scholars have stressed that sustainability in forest management involves both ecological and human development. Sustainability can therefore be regarded as a bridge concept between environmental conservation and social peace

Biodiversity preservation is the protection and maintenance of life forms in an ecosystem. Forests are one of the richest reservoirs of biodiversity found anywhere on the surface of the Earth. Scientifically, biodiversity is important because it is the basis of a stable ecosystem. From a philosophical point of view, biodiversity preservation leads to the question of whether life forms have value apart from their utility to man (Naess 95). This is important because it questions the utility value of forests to man. Biodiversity preservation in forest conservation involves: Protection of habitats, prevention of species extinction, and maintenance of ecological balance. Biodiversity loss leads to ecological upsets, which may result in resource scarcity, economic problems, and conflicts over resources. On the other hand, biodiversity preservation leads to: Food security, provision of medicinal resources, and ecological balance. Biodiversity preservation indirectly leads to social peace.

An understanding of these terms shows that forest conservation is not just a technical activity but a highly philosophical and ethical activity. It requires a rethinking of humanity's role in relation to nature, sustainability, and harmony within society.

Historical Origin and Development of Forest Conservation

The history of forest conservation is not merely a record of protecting trees; it is a profound narrative of humanity's changing relationship with nature, shifting from fear and unbridled exploitation to stewardship and sustainable co-existence. The origins of forest preservation lie in the human need to control scarce resources, a practice that has evolved into a key element of social peace and environmental

sustainability. It also reminds us about the International Day of Forests, which is observed every year on 21st March, and it is a global event that promotes awareness regarding the significance of forests and trees for human lives, biodiversity, and sustainable development. This day was established by the United Nations General Assembly in 2012 to highlight the importance of the role played by forests in maintaining the balance of nature. Since then, different organisations and educational centers have been celebrating this day to raise awareness about the need to preserve forests. The event promotes the protection, restoration, and sustainable use of forests across the world. The connection between human beings and forests is one that is complex and many-sided, and its evolution is a story that is closely tied to the pursuit and realisation of a peaceful future for all. The evolution of forest conservation is a movement that is influenced and dictated by a mix of factors, including cultural, economic, colonial, industrial, and modern influences. The evolution of forest conservation is a story that is closely tied to the early days of human connection to nature, the role and influence of indigenous knowledge systems, the role and influence of colonial and industrial activities, and the modern evolution and milestones in forest conservation policies and movements.

Early Human Interaction with Forests: At the beginning, humans depended a lot on forests for their survival. The forests provided humans with crucial resources such as food, shelter, medicine, and fuel. The earliest recorded human-forest interaction was traced back to the Palaeolithic era, when humans were hunter-gatherers. The forests did not only act as a natural resource, but also as a spiritual and symbolic one. The ancient cultures, such as those of Mesopotamia, Egypt, and India, also exhibited different cultural and religious practices that revered forests as sacred spaces. However, as humans moved from the hunter-gatherer lifestyle to an agricultural one, the human-forest interaction started to change. The forests started to be cleared to make way for agricultural land, as well as for building and fuel. This was the beginning of human-induced changes to the environment, which would later affect forests significantly (Mather 15). The early clearance of forests was seen as a measure of human advancement, with the feeling that nature had to be conquered for civilisation to exist.

Indigenous Knowledge System and Forest Conservation: Indigenous societies, unlike Western societies, have always appreciated the need for sustainable forest management. Many indigenous societies have developed complex knowledge systems that help them manage forests sustainably, ensuring the forests' survival for long periods. The indigenous people of the world, from the Amazon rainforest to the Pacific Northwest, have developed a system for the stewardship of forests that balances the need for forest products with the need to preserve the environment. The philosophy that guided the indigenous people's forest conservation was based on the

understanding that humans are part of nature and that, through their actions, they can heal or destroy the environment. The indigenous people's understanding of the forest ecosystems was a crucial element that made their forest conservation methods successful. The indigenous people's methods for forest conservation, passed down through generations, have been formalised through rituals, taboos, and laws. The indigenous people's knowledge systems for forest conservation have been found to be highly effective, as revealed through studies in anthropology and environmental history (Berkes 97).

Colonial and Industrial Impact on Forests: However, the advent of colonial powers in the 15th century marked a significant change in the way forests were managed and utilised. To the colonialists, forests represented a source of wealth to be exploited and utilised for the purpose of making profits. This exploitation of the forest and its resources was conducted at the expense of the sustainable use of the forest by the indigenous population. With the advent of the Industrial Revolution, the use of timber, coal, and other forest products skyrocketed. This was mainly due to the development of factories, the expansion of railroads, and the development of cities. This marked the beginning of the end of the world's forests as the Industrial Revolution resulted in deforestation in Europe and America, and later the colonies (Simmons 44). This marked the beginning of a world environmental problem that would later result in the formation of the movement to conserve the forests.

Evolution of Modern Forest Conservation Movements: The late 19th and early 20th centuries marked the beginning of modern forest conservation movements. In Europe and North America, there was a growing concern about the rate of deforestation, and this led to the creation of national parks, forest reserves, and laws that protected forests. The establishment of the United States Forest Service in 1905 marked one of the greatest milestones in the development of forest conservation. The Forest Service was led by Gifford Pinchot, who was influenced by progressive conservationism. One of the greatest ideas that Pinchot advocated for was the concept of "sustainable yield," which proposed that forests should be utilised sustainably rather than being exploited (Pinchot 203). At this time, other countries in the world began adopting similar concepts in the preservation of forests. In India, under colonial rule, there was a concept known as "scientific forestry," which balanced logging with reforestation.

Global Milestones in Forest Protection Policies: With the increasing awareness of the effects of deforestation, the 20th century has seen many international interventions in the issue of forest conservation. The United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, held in 1972 in Stockholm, Sweden, marked a major milestone in international cooperation in environmental issues, including deforestation. Since then, many international agreements and interventions have been made towards the protection of forests and sustainable development. The

Convention on Biological Diversity, founded in 1992, recognised the significance of conserving forests in line with biodiversity. The United Nations Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD) program, initiated in 2008, aims to offer financial rewards to developing countries in exchange for reducing deforestation activities and conserving forests (Angelsen 19). Additionally, the adoption of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 emphasised the importance of forests in building a peaceful, prosperous, and sustainable world. Goal 15, which seeks to "protect, restore, and promote the sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems," highlights the importance of forest conservation in relation to climate change, biodiversity, and social peace (UN 29). The history of forest conservation has been one of changing relationships between human societies and the natural world. From the early reverence accorded forests by the indigenous people to the exploitation of forests in the colonial and industrial periods, the history of the development of forest conservation has been one of a complex interplay of economic, cultural, and environmental factors.

Forest Conservation for Sustainable Development.

Forest conservation, from the point of view of sustainable development, cannot be seen as just an environmental policy decision but rather a moral and social necessity. This is because it links the natural world with human well-being, economic security, and ultimately, social peace. In this sense, the conservation of forests becomes not just a practical strategy but also a philosophical one. Ugwu had observed that the term 'development' is a multidimensional concept, judging from the fact that the term enjoys a mass of contextual definitions emanating from different disciplines of study. Therefore, development is a concept that is understood along so many lines of human experience (Ugwu 56). Sustainable development was popularised in the Brundtland Report of 1987. The Brundtland Report defined sustainable development as "meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." Forest conservation would thus naturally fall into this line of thought because forests provide critical ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, soil conservation, biodiversity conservation, and water regulation (Ma et al., 2). Philosophically, this would thus fall under the school of thought known as *intergenerational ethics*. This school of thought holds the belief that the present must be lived in such a way that the future human existence is considered viable. From this point of view, then, forests would not just be seen as resources but as shared inheritances. Moreover, Sustainable forest management emphasises balancing ecological preservation with human use. It recognises that forests can be utilised for economic purposes, but only within limits that allow regeneration and long-term ecological stability.

Forest conservation promotes sustainable development in three main areas. Forests

help in the moderation of climate systems and lock in large amounts of carbon. They are thus critical in the fight against climate change. Current studies on climate-smart forestry indicate that forests must be managed in such a manner that they incorporate adaptation and mitigation techniques in order to be sustainable in changing climatic conditions. Forests help in the employment of millions of people worldwide. However, this has been seen in the past to cause deforestation and subsequent economic instability. Sustainability in forests must thus be such that it ensures the economic benefits of the forests do not compromise the ecological benefits.

Forests have been central to indigenous cultures, rural livelihoods, and social identity. Forest degradation and loss have been associated with social problems like displacement and inequality. In Borneo, for example, forests have been related to biodiversity and social problems (Alamgir et al., 1). Therefore, forest conservation is important to social sustainability and social equity, which are central to sustainable development. Forest conservation is critical to the achievement of sustainable development goals set by the world. These goals include climate change, poverty reduction, and life on land. Forests not only contribute to sustainability but also to human dignity and peaceful coexistence.

Philosophical Perspectives on Forest Conservation.

Philosophically speaking, the conservation of forests extends beyond the actual management of forests or the policy approach to forests. Rather, it involves more profound philosophical concerns about the ethical relationship between humanity and nature. The debate centers on the actual value of forests, the reasons for conserving forests, and the role of conservation in creating peaceful societies. Various philosophical schools of thought offer different but complementary answers to these questions.

Anthropocentrism: Forests as Instruments for Human Well-being. One of the first and most influential stances in this perspective is anthropocentrism. According to this theory, the central focus of morality is the human being. From this school of thought, forests are significant because of the advantages they confer. Anthropocentrism supports the classical ethical position. The conservation of forests becomes necessary in the interest of economic security. As noted, the natural world has moral significance only insofar as it has implications for human well-being, even in the coming generations. However, this approach has been seen as wanting in the sense that it may be used to justify the exploitation of resources because of the assumption that human interest supersedes ecological interest. This has been the focus of most environmental conflicts in areas where deforestation has been motivated by economic necessity.

Ecocentrism: Forests as Holistic Ecosystems. While biocentrism is based on the importance of individual organisms, ecocentrism is based on the importance of the

ecosystem. This means that forests are seen as complex entities where soil, water, vegetation, fauna, and climate are all inseparable. Ecocentrism is based on the idea that not only do individual organisms have moral value, but the integrity, stability, and beauty of the ecosystem itself have value. Ecocentrism is based on the idea that human survival is dependent on the balance and integrity of the ecosystem. Ecocentrism, when applied to the conservation of forests, will include biodiversity conservation, restoration, and sustainable land use. Ecocentrism will also include the idea that social instability is a product of environmental degradation.

Deep Ecology and the Intrinsic Value of Nature. The philosophy of deep ecology, developed by Arne Naess, is seen as a radical shift in human ethics. It is based on the premise that all life is inherently valuable in its own right and not in terms of its utility to human beings. Deep ecology philosophy advocates for a shift in human consciousness. It was founded on the concept of the 'ecological self,' which differentiates between the individual self and the environment. The forest is not seen as a resource to be protected; it is seen as part of the individual. Naess argues that there is the need for human beings to move beyond superficial environmentalism, which is based on pollution control, to a more profound level of ecological consciousness that will shake the very roots of consumerism and exploitation (Naess 95). The philosophy is still being advocated by current researchers as being beneficial in the conservation of biodiversity.

Utilitarian Perspectives on Resource Use. Utilitarianism, this school of thought, which can be traced to the works of Jeremy Bentham and John Stuart Mill, they believes in the utilitarian approach to decision-making. According to this approach, the morality of an action depends on the happiness it generates. The approach has been applied in the conservation of forests. The utilitarian approach justifies the sustainable use of resources in the forests. The forests are considered valuable because they provide several benefits to human beings. These benefits include the regulation of the climate, biodiversity, economic resources, and cultural services. The utilitarian approach justifies the conservation of forests because the benefits obtained from the forests in the long term outweigh the economic benefits obtained from the exploitation of the forests. According to this approach, the coming generations must be considered in the calculation of the happiness generated. (Mill 12).

Deontological Ethics and Environmental Duty. Deontological ethics, which was advocated by Immanuel Kant, emphasises moral duties and not the outcome. The rightness or wrongness of an action is determined by the observance of moral laws. While Kantian deontological ethics has been anthropocentric in the past, the new school of thought in environmental ethics has applied this ethical theory to the conservation of forests. The conservation of forests becomes a moral obligation. Humans must not destroy forests because they would be violating the moral law.

Some scholars may argue that even if forests are not rational agents, human beings have an indirect moral obligation to conserve forests because the degradation of the natural environment would undermine human dignity and moral character (Kant 61). This ethical theory would be useful in advocating the formulation of laws that would not allow the exploitation of forests beyond a certain point. The formulation of such laws would help maintain peace in society because deontological ethics would emphasise the need to be fair in the exploitation of forests.

African Communitarian Philosophy and Environmental Harmony. African philosophical traditions present an alternative, highly relevant, and unique approach to forest conservation, especially when viewed through the prism of communitarianism. The latter is based on interconnectedness, which is not limited to human connections but also involves connections with nature and the spiritual world. The Ubuntu principle, which can be described as “I am because we are,” is extended to include nature. Forests, as such, become an integral part of the community, which should be respected and cared for. African philosophers, such as John Mbiti, emphasise that African cosmology does not differentiate between humans and nature. The former is included in the latter, as is evident from his words (Mbiti 67). “In African cosmology, there is no sharp division between man and nature. The environment is an integral part of man.” Forests can be perceived as sacred spaces, protected through taboos, rituals, and community norms. This approach is, of course, conducive to sustainability. The forests become an essential component of identity, spirituality, and community, and their degradation is perceived as a violation of both social and cosmic order. This view will naturally promote sustainable practices. This is because forests will be linked to identity, spirituality, and the welfare of the community. In relation to social peace, the African communitarian philosophy will promote cooperation, shared responsibility, and the respect for ecological limits.

Challenges and Strategies for Enhancing Forest Conservation and Social Peace.

One of the biggest challenges facing forest conservation has been the economic dependency of the local inhabitants on the forests. In developing countries, forests have been a source of food, firewood, income, and shelter. Therefore, it has not been easy for the inhabitants to accept forest conservation measures without alternative sources. From various studies, it has been seen that poverty and lack of economic opportunities lead people to destroy forests because they have to think first of survival and then of the environment. In some developing countries like Sierra Leone, poverty and increasing human populations have been identified as major contributors to deforestation (Kamara 5). From a philosophical perspective, it has been seen that forest conservation without considering human welfare leads to

unjust and destabilising consequences.

Another important challenge is the expansion of agricultural activities, logging, and mining. Forest lands are cleared for agricultural purposes, cattle rearing, or mining, both for local use and for meeting international demands. Empirical evidence shows that agricultural activities and cattle rearing are among the key causes of deforestation in tropical regions (Armenteras et al., 6). Population growth and urbanisation have been a challenge to forest Conservation. This is because rapid population growth means increased demand for land, housing, and other resources, hence exerting pressure on forests.

Despite some of the challenges facing Forest Conservation, there are strategies for enhancing Forest Conservation and Social Peace. Participatory and Community-Based Forest Management are one of the ways of enhancing forest Conservation and Social Peace. It involves the communities in the decision-making process. This would be done through the use of participatory approaches. This would help in the creation of a sense of ownership and the reduction of conflicts. Evidence indicates that dialogue platforms and community engagement mechanisms are essential in the creation of cooperation and trust between individuals.

Conclusion.

In the summary of this philosophical analysis, we are presented with the reality that forest conservation is not just an issue of environmental preservation but rather one that is very much a part of being human and is essential for ensuring stability, harmony, and ultimately survival. The role that forests play in sustaining our lives are essentials; they provide us with regulation of climate conditions, biodiversity, and even basic means for survival; however, forests' importance goes beyond environmental aspects and involves issues related to ethics and the human social world, which helps achieve peaceful coexistence. Forests can be viewed as life support systems that ensure the balance between nature and humans. Philosophically, the interdependency of forest preservation and social peace can be viewed as a two-way phenomenon. Firstly, proper management of the forests will enhance cooperation, equity, and joint responsibilities within society. Secondly, peaceful societies are better equipped to protect and manage their natural resources. The contemporary literature on environmental peacebuilding suggests that environmental conservation creates “spaces for dialogue,” strengthens social trust, and helps build collective decisions. This approach is able not only to diminish tension but also to develop a so-called “positive peace” state marked by justice, participation, and harmony rather than mere lack of conflict. In Africa, and Nigeria in particular, the value of forests goes beyond economic benefits, becoming also symbolic, cultural, and spiritual. Forest preservation policies, when implemented improperly, can disenfranchise indigenous peoples or prevent them from using

necessary resources and, thus, destroy peace in their own way.

Works Cited

- Angelson, A. Moving Ahead with REDD: Issues, Options and Implications. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).2008. Web 17th March 2026.
- Armenteras, D., et al. *Forest Cover Changes and Public Policy in Columbia*. Land use Policy.2022.(1-10). Web 10th February 2026.
- Alamgir, M., et al. *Emerging Challenges for Sustainable Development and Forest Conservation in Sarwak, Borneo*. PLOS ONE. 15(3). 2020. Print.
- Berkes, F. *Sacred Ecology: Traditional Ecological knowledge and Resource Management*. Taylor & Francis.1999. Print.
- Brundtland, G. H. *Our Common Future*. Oxford U.P.1987. (43). Web 15th March, 2026.
- Cunningham, Joel. *Forest Conservation: Ways and Importance of Conserving Forest*. Forestry.Com, 2024.(3-5). Print.
- Elliott, C. *Paradigms of Forest Conservation*. FAO Forestry Paper,1995.(10-15). Print.
- Kant, I. *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Kant*. Hackett Publishing.1993.(61). Web 17th January 2026.
- Kamara, A. "*Deforestation Dynamics and Sustainable Forest Management in Sierra Leone*."2024. Print.
- Leopold, A. *A Sand County Almanac*. Oxford U.P. 1949. Print.
- Mather, A.S. *The Forest Transition*. Area 24(4),1992.(15). Web 20th March, 2026.
- Ma ,Z., et al. *Forests and Forestry in Support of sustainable Development Goals*.Forests.13(11),2022.(2). Print.
- Mbiti, J.S. *African Religious and Philosophy*.Heinemann.1969.Print.
- Mill, J.S. *Utilitarianism*. Batoche Books.2001.Print.
- Naess ,A. "*The Shallow and the Deep, Long-Range Ecology Movement*". Academic journal Inquiry: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Philosophy, Vol 16.1973. 95-100. Print.
- Pinchot, G. *The Fight For Conservation*. 4th ed .G.P. New York by Doubleday, Page & Company. 1910.Print.
- Savari, M., et Al. *The Role of Social Capital in Forest Conservation*. Science of the Total Environment.2023.(5). Web 20th February, 2026.
- Simmons. I. G. *The Ecology of Natural Resources Management*. Longman. 1997. Print.
- United Nations. *Forests and Sustainable Development Goals*. n.p. 2023. Web 15th

February,2026.

Nations. *Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda For Sustainable Development*. United Nations. 2015. Web 15th February, 2026.

Ugwu, V.C. *Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and Africa's Development Challenges*. Enugu: Nigerian Journal of Educational Leadership and Management (NJEM).2018. Print