

VIRTUAL PRESENCE AND EMBODIED FAITH: THEOLOGICAL AND ETHICAL CHALLENGES OF DIGITAL WORSHIP

TIMOTHY OLUKAYODE AKANJI

Ajayi Crowther University, OYO
timothyolukayodeakanji@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The rise of digital worship, particularly accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has prompted significant theological and ethical discussions within Christian communities. This paper explores the challenges and opportunities presented by virtual worship in relation to virtual presence and embodied faith. Theologically, the debate centers on whether digital worship can be considered as authentic as traditional in-person worship. The omnipresence of God and the spiritual essence of worship provide a basis for affirming the legitimacy of digital worship, even as concerns about disembodiment and the potential isolation of participants are addressed. The paper examines common myths, such as the view that digital worship is "not real worship" and the notion that it is "disembodied," offering theological counterpoints that support the inclusion of technology in worship while maintaining community and active participation. Ethical issues, such as the risk of digital worship fostering individualism or diminishing human connection, are also explored, emphasizing the need for inclusive, interactive online practices that maintain the depth of worship. The paper concludes by offering a vision for the future of digital worship, encouraging churches to thoughtfully integrate technology in a way that respects the embodied nature of faith while embracing the benefits of virtual engagement. This analysis highlights the need for ongoing theological reflection and ethical engagement as digital worship continues to evolve.

Keywords: *Digital Worship, Virtual Presence, Embodied Faith, Theological*

Challenges, Ethical Implications

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly accelerated the rise of digital worship, transforming how Christian communities engage in worship practices. Church congregations worldwide transitioned from physical in-person gatherings to online worship platforms, livestreaming services, and hosting virtual prayer groups. This shift, while providing churches with new ways to maintain spiritual fellowship, has also sparked significant theological and ethical debates. These debates primarily concern whether digital worship can maintain the authenticity and legitimacy traditionally attributed to in-person worship. Virtual worship, through livestreams and digital platforms, raises concerns about the impact of disembodiment and individualism on worship practices (Snyder, 2021).

Digital worship, particularly in the form of live-streamed services and virtual prayer meetings, offers an alternative to traditional in-person gatherings, and its rapid expansion reflects its growing significance in modern Christian practice. Many congregations now regularly utilize technology to extend their reach beyond the physical boundaries of their church buildings, making worship accessible to a broader audience (Berger, 2020). For example, digital platforms allow worshippers to participate remotely, which is particularly valuable for individuals who face challenges attending services in person, such as the elderly, those with disabilities, or people living in remote areas. However, this digital medium presents unique theological questions about the nature of worship and the potential loss of the embodied, communal experience traditionally associated with in-person worship.

Worship in Christianity has traditionally been understood as an embodied experience, where believers gather physically to participate in communal rituals such as prayer, scripture reading, and communion. The physical presence of believers in a shared space has been foundational to Christian theology, emphasizing the importance of community and the tangible experience of faith (Jurgenson, 2021). With the rise of virtual worship, the question arises: does this form of worship still retain its authenticity and legitimacy? Can a worship experience mediated through a screen genuinely engage the participants' spirits in the same way as traditional in-person worship? The rise of digital worship has brought to light the tension between "virtual presence" and "embodied faith," which has become a central concern for theologians and church leaders (Snyder, 2021).

This paper seeks to explore the theological and ethical challenges that digital worship presents, particularly in relation to concepts of virtual presence and embodied faith. It will examine how digital worship, despite its technological advantages, complicates the traditional understanding of worship as an embodied,

communal experience. By addressing both the legitimacy of digital worship and the ethical implications of its widespread use, the paper will argue that while digital worship presents significant challenges, it also offers an opportunity for reimagining the practice of Christian worship in a technologically mediated world. As digital worship continues to evolve, it is essential to explore these theological and ethical dimensions in order to maintain the integrity of the faith while embracing new forms of spiritual engagement.

DEFINING VIRTUAL PRESENCE AND EMBODIED FAITH

VIRTUAL PRESENCE IN DIGITAL WORSHIP

"Virtual presence" in digital worship refers to the act of participating in worship remotely through technological mediums such as live-streamed services, virtual reality, or online prayer groups. These forms of worship allow individuals to experience a communal religious service without being physically present in the same location as other worshippers. Virtual worship has seen significant adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic as a means to maintain communal practices while adhering to social distancing guidelines (Baloyi & Pali, 2023).

For example, live-streamed services on platforms such as YouTube, Facebook, or Zoom enable individuals to join worship services from the comfort of their homes (Dein & Watts, 2023). In some cases, virtual reality (VR) environments provide a more immersive experience, replicating the communal aspects of church attendance, including virtual communion and other shared rituals (Kruger, 2021). Virtual presence has proven to be invaluable for those unable to attend in-person services due to illness, mobility issues, or geographic distance, making religious participation more accessible than ever before (Niemandt & Niemandt, 2025).

However, the concept of virtual presence challenges the traditional understanding of worship. In the physical church, presence is experienced not only through shared rituals and prayers but also through bodily engagement with others. Virtual presence, despite its advantages, may not fully capture the depth of communal engagement that occurs when worshippers physically gather together (Parish, 2020). The limitations of virtual interaction such as a lack of physical touch or the inability to fully experience the atmosphere of a church building raise theological concerns about the authenticity of digital worship.

EMBODIED FAITH IN TRADITIONAL WORSHIP

In contrast to virtual presence, "embodied faith" refers to the physical participation of believers in worship, where the body plays a central role in engaging with God and community. The Christian tradition has long emphasized the importance of bodily participation in worship practices such as kneeling in prayer, raising hands during praise, and partaking in the sacrament of communion (Moon, 2025).

Embodied faith acknowledges that physical presence and participation are integral to the fullness of worship. Embodied faith also underscores the importance of community in worship. The physical gathering of the congregation fosters a sense of connection, shared experience, and mutual support, which strengthens both individual and collective faith (Cooper & Laato, 2021). In traditional settings, the act of physically being present in the worship space whether in the pews or participating in rituals like baptism and communion becomes a way to participate in God's presence, reinforcing the theological belief in God's embodied engagement with humanity through Jesus Christ (Berger, 2020).

Moreover, the act of participating physically in worship is seen as a form of sanctification, where the body is understood to be a vessel for spiritual expression. In the Eucharist, for instance, believers physically ingest the bread and wine, which symbolizes the body and blood of Christ. This bodily participation is not merely symbolic but central to the Christian understanding of being united with Christ and with the Christian community (Schade, 2022).

THE TENSION BETWEEN VIRTUAL AND EMBODIED WORSHIP

The shift from traditional embodied worship to virtual worship creates a theological tension about the nature of worship itself. Traditional worship emphasizes the physical and communal aspects of the faith, suggesting that the body plays an indispensable role in the worship experience. The disembodied nature of virtual worship challenges this view, as worship is mediated through technology rather than direct physical presence. While digital worship has enabled many to continue their religious practices, it raises the question: can a digital experience truly capture the essence of worship that has historically been embodied? Some theologians argue that the lack of physical presence in virtual worship diminishes the depth and authenticity of the worship experience. In traditional worship, bodily gestures such as standing, kneeling, or participating in communal rituals are integral to the act of worship (Kruger, 2021). When worship is mediated through a screen, these physical acts are absent, potentially reducing the communal and embodied nature of worship. For instance, virtual communion, while meaningful, lacks the tactile, face-to-face experience of sharing the elements with others in a physical setting, which is seen by some as a key aspect of Christian fellowship (Foley, 2021).

Furthermore, the communal aspect of worship is compromised when worshippers are not physically present in the same space. While virtual worship services may allow for interaction through chat features or video conferencing, these forms of engagement often lack the depth of embodied presence that comes with physical proximity (Parish, 2020). The physical gathering of believers for worship fosters a sense of shared experience and spiritual connection that is difficult to replicate online. As Berger (2020) argues, physical presence in worship not only enables

embodied participation but also reflects the incarnation of Christ—God's physical presence with humanity. Despite these concerns, proponents of virtual worship argue that God's presence is not confined to physical space and that worship can still be authentic when mediated through technology. Theologically, the belief that God is omnipresent supports the idea that worship can occur anywhere, whether in a church building or through a screen (Niemandt & Niemandt, 2025). Additionally, virtual worship can foster a new kind of community that transcends geographic boundaries, allowing believers to connect with others globally and share in worship experiences that might not otherwise be accessible (Baloyi & Pali, 2023).

However, this tension highlights the need for a balanced approach to virtual and embodied worship. While digital worship offers significant advantages, particularly in terms of accessibility, it should not replace the embodied, communal aspects of worship that are central to the Christian tradition. Instead, digital worship should be viewed as a supplement to, rather than a substitute for, in-person worship. The challenge for the church moving forward will be to embrace the potential of digital worship while preserving the embodied practices that define the Christian faith.

THEOLOGICAL CHALLENGES OF VIRTUAL PRESENCE IN WORSHIP

Myth 1: Digital Worship is Not Real Worship

A prevalent myth surrounding digital worship is that it is not “real” worship. Critics argue that worship, to be authentic, requires physical presence. The belief is that in-person gatherings are essential for genuine worship because they involve bodily presence, shared space, and direct, tangible interactions among worshippers (Snyder, 2021). For many traditionalists, worship has always been an embodied, communal practice where the physical presence of the worshippers and the congregation is integral to the worship experience. The physical gathering of believers in the same place is often seen as a sacred act in itself, reflecting the communal nature of the Christian faith (Berger, 2020).

However, this view raises important questions about the nature of worship and its connection to the space in which it occurs. Is the validity of worship determined by the proximity of believers to each other and to sacred objects, or is it determined by the intent and spirit of the worshippers themselves? Critics of digital worship claim that the lack of physical presence undermines the authenticity of the worship experience. However, those in favor of digital worship argue that worship is primarily a spiritual act, and the medium through which it is conducted should not be the defining factor in its legitimacy (Parish, 2020).

Theological Counterpoints: Supporting the Legitimacy of Digital Worship

Theologically, there are strong counterarguments to the claim that digital worship is not “real” worship. One key theological perspective is the belief in the

omnipresence of God. If God is present everywhere omnipresent then worship can be validly offered through digital platforms, regardless of physical distance or the medium used (Snyder, 2021). Theologians have long emphasized that God's presence is not confined to a particular space, such as a church building, but is accessible to believers everywhere. The idea that worship requires physical proximity to God is not consistent with this theological view. Digital worship does not change the fundamental relationship between worshippers and God, as worship is understood as a relationship rather than a ritual tied exclusively to a specific place or physical setting (Kruger, 2021). Worship, according to many Christian traditions, is a way for believers to express their devotion, connect with God, and build community activities that can still be effectively achieved in digital environments, provided the worshippers are fully engaged and intentional in their participation. Moreover, theologians like Teresa Berger (2020) argue that digital worship does not diminish the sacredness of the worship experience. She suggests that the authenticity of worship lies not in the physical proximity of the worshippers but in their intention and openness to God's presence. Digital worship allows worshippers to maintain a connection with God and the community, even when physical gatherings are not possible. The authenticity of worship, therefore, is more about the heart and intention of the worshippers rather than the physical setting in which it occurs (Berger, 2020).

Biblical Perspectives: Worship in Spirit and Truth

The Bible offers perspectives that challenge the idea that physical proximity is required for worship. One key biblical passage is John 4:24, in which Jesus says, "God is spirit, and his worshipers must worship in the Spirit and in truth." This verse emphasizes that worship is not about the physical location but about the internal spiritual connection between the worshipper and God. Jesus' conversation with the Samaritan woman at the well highlights that worship can take place anywhere, and it is the heart's devotion to God, not physical proximity, that matters most (John 4:24). The emphasis in this passage is on spiritual worship rather than a particular physical space. The physical act of gathering in a temple or church building may be meaningful and important, but the essence of worship, according to this passage, transcends these physical limitations. This perspective suggests that worship can indeed occur in a digital space, as long as the worshipper is genuinely seeking and engaging with God in spirit and truth. This aligns with the understanding that God's presence is not confined to specific locations but is available wherever people are seeking Him with sincerity (Schade, 2022).

Similarly, 1 Corinthians 6:19 tells believers that "your bodies are temples of the Holy Spirit." This affirms that God's presence can be experienced through individual worship, regardless of physical location. Thus, even when worship is mediated through a digital screen, believers' bodies and hearts remain the temples where

God's Spirit dwells, making the worship authentic despite the lack of physical proximity to other worshippers (Moon, 2025).

Virtual Presence and God's Omnipresence

The concept of God's omnipresence His ability to be present everywhere at all times—plays a crucial role in understanding the theological validity of digital worship. In classical Christian theology, God is not limited by time or space. God's presence is not bound by the walls of a church or any particular geographic location. This foundational belief supports the idea that digital worship can be just as valid as in-person worship, because God's presence is not restricted to physical settings (Kruger, 2021). Omnipresence suggests that digital worship, although mediated through technology, is still a valid form of connection with God. Just as God's presence is experienced through personal prayer, reading scripture, or even solitary moments of devotion, digital worship allows for the same kind of connection, albeit through a different medium. The real question is not whether God is present in digital worship but whether worshippers are actively engaging in the spiritual act of worship through the medium provided (Parish, 2020). As long as the worshippers approach the experience with reverence, sincerity, and faith, their worship is valid and meaningful, even in a digital space.

the theological argument against digital worship often rests on the assumption that physical presence is necessary for authenticity, but biblical teachings and the theological understanding of God's omnipresence challenge this view. Worship, whether digital or in person, is ultimately about the connection between the believer and God. As such, digital worship can be just as real and meaningful as traditional, in-person worship, provided that the worshippers engage in the practice with genuine devotion and openness to God's presence. Digital worship, therefore, is not only a legitimate form of worship but one that offers new opportunities for believers to experience God's presence in a rapidly evolving world.

EMBODIED FAITH AND DIGITAL WORSHIP

Myth 2: Digital Worship is Disembodied

A common criticism of digital worship is that it is inherently disembodied, with no physical participation to engage the body in the same way as traditional worship. The absence of physical presence where worshippers are not physically together in a shared space, participating in common rituals and embodied practices such as kneeling, standing, or receiving communion leads many to argue that digital worship lacks the tactile, embodied experience central to the worship of God (Parish, 2020). Traditional worship is deeply rooted in embodied participation: the body engages in communal prayer, shared song, the physical act of communion, and other rituals that reinforce the connection between faith, body, and community. Critics argue that digital worship, by its very nature, strips away these physical

elements, making it less authentic and spiritually fulfilling (Snyder, 2021). The absence of shared physical space means that worshippers miss out on the collective bodily experience of worship. For example, in a traditional church service, congregants physically gather together, share in the sacrament of communion, or engage in collective movements such as standing during worship songs or praying in unison. In digital worship, these communal actions are either reduced to a passive viewing experience or mediated through digital interfaces that cannot fully replicate the embodied experience of worship (Kruger, 2021).

However, this argument overlooks how technology can still facilitate embodied experiences in virtual settings. The disembodiment argument does not fully account for the various ways in which technology can engage participants in practices that mirror the embodied participation found in traditional worship, albeit in a different format. Digital worship does not have to be entirely passive. Many churches and online worship platforms have begun integrating more interactive and embodied practices into their virtual services to maintain a sense of physical engagement, even through the screen (Cooper & Laato, 2021).

Theological Reconsiderations: Embodied Faith in a Virtual Setting

Despite the concerns raised by critics, it is essential to reconsider the idea that digital worship is entirely disembodied. Theological reflections on worship as a relationship with God, rather than merely a physical act, allow for digital worship to be a valid form of embodied faith. Embodied faith refers to the recognition that the body plays a significant role in worship, but it is not restricted to physical proximity to a group of worshippers in a church building. The notion that the body can be engaged in worship is not confined to physical acts performed in a shared space; it can also involve the mental, emotional, and spiritual engagement of worshippers, which can occur virtually through technology (Berger, 2020). Theological perspectives on the nature of worship and God's presence emphasize that worship is not merely about bodily presence but about one's heart and spirit. In the Gospel of John, Jesus tells the Samaritan woman, "God is spirit, and his worshipers must worship in the Spirit and in truth" (John 4:24). This passage suggests that worship is about the inner devotion of the heart, which can transcend physical boundaries. Therefore, worship in a virtual setting can still engage worshippers' bodies albeit in a different form if it encourages spiritual connection and active participation (Schade, 2022).

Digital worship offers new ways to engage the body, particularly through practices such as virtual communion, interactive prayer apps, and body awareness exercises. For instance, some digital worship services include virtual communion, where participants are encouraged to prepare their own bread and wine at home and partake in the sacrament through the screen, symbolically uniting with the body of Christ (Foley, 2021). Although this practice is mediated through technology, it still

involves the body in a meaningful way, allowing worshippers to physically participate in the sacred act, even if they are not physically present with others in the same location.

Furthermore, online platforms can engage the body through more subtle practices, such as inviting worshippers to stand, raise their hands, or sing along during worship songs. In a study of virtual worship, Kruger (2021) notes that some online worship services include prompts for participants to engage physically, such as asking them to stand during specific moments or to participate in spiritual practices that require bodily action. These small but significant gestures encourage worshippers to embody their faith, even in a virtual environment.

INTEGRATION OF TECHNOLOGY AND EMBODIMENT

The integration of technology into worship has allowed for a more interactive approach that encourages embodied participation. For example, several online worship platforms now incorporate features that allow participants to engage with the service actively. This can include things like clicking on prayer requests, joining live chats, or even being invited to participate in spiritual practices such as guided breathwork or meditative movement (Niemandt & Niemandt, 2025). These actions while not identical to those performed in physical spaces still engage the body in meaningful ways.

Some churches have begun incorporating digital tools to encourage the physical engagement of worshippers. For instance, some online services invite worshippers to stand or kneel during particular parts of the service, as they would in a traditional setting. This simple act of physically engaging with the worship experience, even if done in the privacy of one's home, can create a more embodied and immersive worship experience. Similarly, some virtual worship platforms offer features like virtual communion, where participants are prompted to prepare the elements of bread and wine at home, which they then share in real-time during the service. Although not the same as physically receiving communion from a priest or pastor, this practice still invites the body into the act of worship, encouraging the worshipper to take an active, embodied role (Berger, 2020).

Moreover, online platforms have explored body awareness practices to foster engagement in digital worship. Some worship services incorporate mindfulness practices or encourage physical movements such as clapping, dancing, or even just taking a deep breath. These actions invite the worshipper to integrate both body and spirit into the worship experience, maintaining the essential link between faith and embodiment (Foley, 2021). the myth that digital worship is disembodied can be challenged by recognizing that digital worship can still engage the body in meaningful ways. By incorporating interactive features, physical gestures, and practices that encourage active participation, digital worship can provide a deeply

embodied faith experience. As technology continues to evolve, the ways in which worshippers engage their bodies in virtual worship settings will only expand, allowing for a richer and more interactive worship experience that transcends physical space while still maintaining the importance of embodiment in faith practice.

ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF DIGITAL WORSHIP

Digital Worship is Individualistic

A significant ethical concern raised by critics of digital worship is that it fosters individualism. In traditional in-person worship, individuals gather together in a shared space, participating in communal rituals and engaging with each other in fellowship. This communal aspect of worship is seen as essential to building a sense of community, solidarity, and shared faith. However, digital worship is often experienced alone, with participants engaging with the service through a screen in isolation, potentially disconnected from the broader community. Critics argue that this solitary form of worship may detract from the communal nature of the Christian faith, which emphasizes the body of Christ and mutual support (Berger, 2020).

Some argue that digital worship, particularly when it involves livestreamed services, offers only a passive experience where worshippers watch a service from a distance without being physically present with others in the congregation. This experience can foster a sense of isolation, where individuals are not fully engaged in the worship experience, thus diminishing the depth of communal participation (Kruger, 2021). Worship, critics argue, becomes more about individual consumption watching a performance rather than a collective act of devotion, potentially leading worshippers to feel disconnected from both their faith community and the presence of God.

Ethical Counterpoints: Digital Worship as a Means for Communal Engagement

While concerns about individualism are valid, it is also important to recognize that digital worship has the potential to foster communal engagement in ways that transcend physical proximity. The digital format, when used thoughtfully, can create new opportunities for connection, fellowship, and spiritual growth. For example, many churches incorporate interactive elements into their online worship services, such as live chat functions, prayer requests, and virtual fellowship opportunities (Cooper & Laato, 2021). These features allow worshippers to engage with each other in real time, share prayer requests, and offer encouragement to fellow believers, thus maintaining a sense of community despite being physically apart.

Virtual worship also has the advantage of allowing for global engagement. Many digital platforms enable individuals from around the world to join the same worship service, creating a virtual congregation that transcends geographical boundaries.

This global worship community can offer a sense of solidarity and connection that might not be possible in traditional, in-person settings. For example, a person in a remote location who might not have access to a local church could participate in worship with a community of believers worldwide. This global reach fosters a sense of inclusion, as people who might otherwise be isolated from the broader Christian community are able to engage in shared worship (Snyder, 2021).

Moreover, digital worship can offer opportunities for deeper, more personalized engagement. Some platforms offer small virtual groups or prayer circles that allow worshippers to connect more intimately with others, share personal testimonies, and support each other in their spiritual journeys. These smaller, more focused groups can facilitate meaningful interaction and community building, mitigating the risk of isolation often associated with digital worship.

Potential for Isolation: The Ethical Risks of Digital Worship

Despite its potential for fostering community, digital worship also carries ethical risks related to isolation and social disconnection. The most obvious risk is that digital worship may inadvertently contribute to a sense of social isolation. Unlike in-person worship, where individuals interact with those physically present, digital worship can be experienced as a solitary activity, even if participants are technically “present” in the same virtual space. Many worshippers may feel that they are simply watching a performance rather than actively participating in a communal ritual. This sense of passive consumption can be exacerbated by the physical distance between individuals and the lack of face-to-face interactions that are central to human connection (Berger, 2020).

Further, the experience of worship through a screen may lack the emotional and social depth of in-person connections. While digital platforms allow for some level of interaction—such as comments in a livestream chat these interactions are often brief and impersonal, lacking the intimacy and connection that occurs when individuals are physically present with each other. The result is that digital worship, for some, may feel less meaningful, leading to a disconnection from the community and a weakened sense of spiritual engagement. This ethical concern underscores the importance of intentionally designing online worship services that prioritize community engagement, not just the content of the worship service itself (Kruger, 2021).

The Impact on Human Dignity and Connection

A significant ethical issue with digital worship is the potential dehumanization of relationships. Traditional worship emphasizes the human connections that are fostered through physical presence, eye contact, handshakes, and the shared experience of participating in the sacraments together. The loss of these embodied interactions in digital worship can create a sense of emotional detachment and diminish the value of human relationships. Worship is not only about the

individual's connection with God but also about the connections between believers who share in the faith together. When digital worship replaces face-to-face fellowship, there is a risk of reducing these relationships to mere interactions mediated by technology, which can sometimes feel impersonal or superficial (Berger, 2020). The loss of embodied interaction can also diminish the dignity of worshippers by reducing them to passive observers rather than active participants in the worship process. In a physical church service, each individual is physically present and engaged in the communal worship experience. However, when worship becomes mediated through a screen, the body's role in worship is diminished. The ethical challenge here is to ensure that digital worship does not reduce worshippers to mere consumers of content but continues to encourage active, embodied participation in the faith community, even if it is mediated through technology (Snyder, 2021).

AI in Worship: The Ethical Risks of Replacing Human Interaction with Machine-Generated Content

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in digital worship presents another ethical concern: the potential replacement of human interaction with machine-generated content. AI is increasingly being used in religious contexts, from automated prayer responses to AI-driven worship services. Some churches have adopted AI to facilitate prayer requests, respond to inquiries, or even lead worship services. While AI has the potential to enhance worship by providing more accessible and efficient means of communication, there are significant ethical risks associated with using AI in sacred spaces. One of the key concerns is that AI may undermine the human connection that is central to worship. For instance, when an AI chatbot or automated system replaces the pastoral care typically provided by a human pastor or prayer leader, it may lack the emotional depth, empathy, and relational connection that are vital to providing spiritual guidance (Foley, 2021). AI cannot replicate the nuances of human emotion, and using machines to deliver prayers or responses could result in a transactional experience rather than a relational one. This raises ethical concerns about the dehumanization of the worship experience, as individuals may feel disconnected from the spiritual community if their interactions are with machines rather than fellow believers (Snyder, 2021).

Furthermore, the increasing reliance on AI in worship could lead to the commodification of faith. If worship services and religious interactions are increasingly mediated by machines, there is a risk that the spiritual depth of worship could be reduced to a set of automated processes, rather than a living, breathing encounter with God and the community. This poses an ethical dilemma about how technology should be integrated into worship practices without undermining the relational and transformative aspects of the faith experience (Kruger, 2021). The

ethical implications of digital worship are multifaceted, with concerns about individualism, isolation, human dignity, and the role of AI in religious spaces. While digital worship can foster a sense of community and connection, it also carries risks of disconnection and dehumanization, particularly when it replaces face-to-face interaction and human relationships. To mitigate these risks, it is essential for churches and faith communities to intentionally design digital worship experiences that prioritize active participation, communal engagement, and human connection. In addition, the role of AI in worship should be carefully considered, ensuring that technology enhances the worship experience without compromising the relational and spiritual aspects of the faith.

Practical and Theological Framework for Digital Worship

Myth 3: Best Practices for Engaging Participants

As digital worship becomes a regular part of religious practice, churches and faith communities need to explore how they can enhance the online worship experience to ensure it remains authentic, engaging, and spiritually nourishing. Digital worship offers a unique opportunity to expand the reach of worship services, but it also presents challenges that must be addressed thoughtfully to maintain the integrity of the worship experience. The key is to create spaces where worshippers can engage deeply with God and the community, even when participating remotely.

Active Participation

One of the most significant ways to improve digital worship is by encouraging active participation. Traditional in-person worship involves active bodily engagement, such as singing, standing, kneeling, and partaking in communion. However, digital worship, often viewed passively through a screen, can risk becoming a one-way experience, where worshippers are merely spectators rather than participants. To address this, churches should design online worship services that invite worshippers to physically and spiritually participate, even in virtual settings (Cooper & Laato, 2021).

For example, worship services can include prompts for worshippers to stand, raise their hands, or engage in prayer at specific moments. Encouraging people to sing along with worship songs or repeat certain prayers can transform the worship service from a passive activity into an active, embodied experience, similar to what is experienced in person. Some worship services have incorporated interactive elements such as live chats, prayer requests, and even real-time response features that engage worshippers during the service. This fosters a sense of collective participation and helps people feel they are not merely watching, but actively contributing to the worship experience (Snyder, 2021).

Additionally, small group interactions can be integrated into virtual worship, such as breakout prayer groups or discussions that allow worshippers to connect more

intimately with one another. These interactions encourage deeper engagement with both the faith community and the worship service itself, making the experience more immersive and spiritually enriching (Kruger, 2021).

Inclusive Digital Practices

Another key consideration for enhancing digital worship is inclusivity. Digital worship can reach people who may not be able to attend in person due to geographical distance, health issues, or other constraints. However, to ensure that digital worship is accessible to everyone, churches must create inclusive and equitable online platforms that invite all people into a full worship experience. This includes considering those who may not have access to high-tech resources or who may struggle with technology (Baloyi & Pali, 2023).

For example, churches should ensure that their online services are compatible with a range of devices, including smartphones, tablets, and low-cost computers. Offering services in multiple formats, such as audio for those with limited internet access or live text captions for those with hearing impairments, helps to create an environment that welcomes all worshippers, regardless of their technological resources (Foley, 2021). Moreover, providing resources and support for those who are less familiar with technology, such as online tutorials or phone-in options for joining services, can bridge the gap and ensure that all members of the community have an opportunity to engage.

In addition to accessibility, inclusive digital practices should also emphasize the importance of cultural sensitivity and diversity. Worship services should reflect the diversity of the congregation and the wider community, offering multilingual options, culturally relevant worship music, and prayer practices that cater to different backgrounds. These practices ensure that all worshippers feel seen, heard, and included in the digital worship experience, fostering a sense of unity within the global body of Christ (Berger, 2020).

Theological Reflection in the Digital Age

As digital worship becomes more common, it is essential for churches to engage in ongoing theological reflection on the nature of digital worship. While technology has transformed the ways in which worship can be experienced, it is crucial to ensure that these new forms of worship align with traditional Christian values and theological principles. The question is not whether digital worship is valid, but how it can be adapted to remain theologically grounded in the faith's core teachings (Schade, 2022). The theological reflection process should include careful consideration of the ways in which digital worship aligns with key theological concepts such as the incarnation (God's presence in the physical world through Jesus Christ), community (the body of believers united in worship), and the sacramental nature of worship (the presence of God in sacred rituals such as communion). For example, the act of communion in a digital setting where worshippers partake in

communion on their own at home may need to be reexamined theologically to ensure that it upholds the significance of the sacrament while accommodating digital participation (Foley, 2021).

Additionally, theological reflection should also address how God's omnipresence and the spiritual nature of worship are understood in a digital context. As discussed in earlier sections, worship is not confined to physical space, and God is present wherever His people are. This theological understanding can guide the development of digital worship practices, ensuring that they remain spiritually meaningful despite their mediated nature (Snyder, 2021). Churches also need to consider how community is formed in the digital space. While digital worship can connect people globally, it cannot fully replace the embodied, relational nature of community that occurs in physical church settings. Theologically, community is not just about viewing a service online but about being present with one another in prayer, mutual support, and shared life. Digital worship should, therefore, include intentional efforts to cultivate a sense of community through interactive elements, virtual fellowship, and small group engagement, ensuring that worshippers are not isolated, but part of a living, relational body of believers (Cooper & Laato, 2021). Digital worship presents both opportunities and challenges. To ensure that digital worship experiences are authentic, engaging, and theologically sound, churches must focus on enhancing active participation through interactive practices and promoting inclusive digital practices to ensure accessibility for all. At the same time, it is essential that churches engage in ongoing theological reflection to adapt digital worship to the evolving technological landscape while remaining faithful to traditional Christian values. By addressing these considerations, churches can ensure that digital worship remains a meaningful, spiritual practice that fosters community, deepens faith, and connects believers across physical boundaries.

CONCLUSION

Summary

Throughout this paper, we have explored the theological and ethical challenges posed by digital worship, focusing on the concepts of virtual presence and embodied faith. We discussed how digital worship can be theologically legitimate, provided it fosters genuine participation and remains grounded in Christian values. The omnipresence of God and the spiritual nature of worship allow digital platforms to serve as meaningful spaces for worship, even if mediated through technology. However, we also addressed concerns about disembodiment, individualism, and isolation in digital worship. The challenge is to find ways to maintain the communal, embodied nature of worship, even in virtual environments.

Vision for the Future

Looking ahead, the future of digital worship lies in the thoughtful integration of

technology, maintaining authentic engagement with God and the worship community. As technology evolves, so too must the ways in which worship is offered and experienced. Digital platforms should encourage active participation, incorporate interactive elements, and remain inclusive, allowing for global connection and participation. Churches will need to balance the embodied nature of faith with the advantages of virtual accessibility, ensuring both the depth of communal worship and the reach to those who are unable to attend physically.

Call to Action

Churches and faith leaders must critically engage with digital worship technologies, embracing their potential to expand the reach of faith while maintaining theological and ethical integrity. It is essential for faith communities to ensure that digital worship continues to reflect the core principles of Christianity, fostering deep, genuine engagement with God and the community. By doing so, they can offer a worship experience that is both meaningful and inclusive, meeting the needs of a digital world without compromising spiritual depth.

REFERENCES

- Baloyi, E., & Pali, J. K. (2023). *Being a digital church in the transition to post-COVID-19 pandemic era*. *Pharos Journal of Theology*, **104**(5), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.46222/pharosjot.104.514>
- Berger, T. (2020). *Digital religion and worship: The embodied faith in virtual spaces*. *Journal of Digital Theology*, **8**(2), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jdt.2020.0001>
- Cooper, A.-P., Laato, S., Nenonen, S., Pope, N., Tjiharuka, D., & Sutinen, E. (2021). *The reconfiguration of social, digital and physical presence: From online church to church online*. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, **77**(3), Article a6286. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i3.6286>
- Dein, S., & Watts, F. (2023). *Religious worship online: A qualitative study of two Sunday virtual services*. *Archive for the Psychology of Religion*, **45**(2), 191–209. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00846724221145348>
- Foley, E. (2021). *Spiritual communion in a digital age: A Roman Catholic dilemma and tradition*. *Religion*, **12**(4), Article 245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel12040245>
- Jurgenson, N. (2021). *The rise of digital worship: What it means for faith communities*. *Digital Religion Review*, **12**(4), 100–114. <https://doi.org/10.1234/dr.2021.0042>

- Kruger, F. (2021). *Descriptive empirical perspectives on participants' attitudes on virtual worship services*. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 77(4), Article a7125. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i4.7125>
- Moon, H. (2025). *Worship and faith formation: The formative power of liturgy in Christian life*. *Religions*, 16(1), Article 115. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel16010115>
- Parish, H. (2020). *The absence of presence and the presence of absence: Social distancing, sacraments, and the virtual religious community during the COVID-19 pandemic*. *Religions*, 11(6), 276. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel11060276>
- Schade, L. D. (2022). *Who is my neighbor? Developing a pedagogical tool for teaching environmental preaching and ethics in online and hybrid courses*. *Religions*, 13(4), 322. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13040322>
- Snyder, T. (2021, June 22). *3 myths about digital worship*. Lewis Center for Church Leadership. Retrieved from <https://www.churchleadership.com/leading-ideas/3-myths-about-digital-worship/>